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The Kinsey Institute Normalizes Child Molestation
In the 1950s the United States was known as a “child centered culture.” Now we are known as a “child abuse culture.”¹ What happened between 1950 and today?

[Reached via a Yahoo sadist-child abuse chat line] a 13-year-old girl from Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania was found chained to a bed in [a n adult sadist’s] townhouse....The FBI had to use bolt cutters to free the girl, who authorities believe had been in an online relationship with [the man].²

The battle lines are being drawn between the American public that seeks to protect our vulnerable youth and that of corporate pornography terrorists who are waging war everywhere for our children’s minds and bodies. What role does the Kinsey Institute at Indiana University play in this battle? For, the Kinsey Institute has just announced that they intend to “fill in the gaps” of “children’s sexuality.”³

Toxic Kinseyan sexologists apparently believe they have not yet sufficiently ripped opened the floodgates to the normalization of child sexual abuse in our nation.⁴

Based on its history and its current cover-up and promotion of the Kinsey Institute’s criminal child sexual abuse protocols (Sexual Behavior in the Human Male, 1948 and Sexual Behavior in the Human Female, 1953), the Kinsey Institute leaders and
their allies now believe the time is ripe to fulfill Dr. Kinsey’s documented goal. *On the evidence*, further provided here, the Kinsey goal was to eliminate (Judeo) Christianity, to abolish all sex crime penalties and to fully legitimize adult homosexual and heterosexual sexual access to infants and children.⁵

**The Kinsey Institute Directors Cover Up Institute’s Child Rape Protocol**

The need to hide the Institute’s criminal secrets required that those chosen to direct the Kinsey Institute after his untimely death (Drs. Paul Gebhard, June Reinisch and John Bancroft) must willingly follow Kinsey’s footsteps by deliberately misleading the nation with fraudulent information regarding the Institute’s research data and illegal methodology.⁶

In May, marketed by IU as a bastion of conservative but candid sexual research, Dr. John Bancroft (with English accent) gathered together a like-minded gang he called “level-headed” who plan to tell the world what “children's sexual development …the important and little understood--aspect of human sexuality” is all about.⁷

Bancroft still illegally hides the Kinsey files that document 317 to 2,035 of Kinsey’s little “pre-pubertal” victims, obstructing any justice or aid for these traumatized child sex guinea pigs. Instead, showing no shame, Bancroft asks his conference elites, “what methods are appropriate for studying sexual development of children and adolescents?” “Is it possible to come to a consensus on what constitutes “normal” sexual development?” A consensus from this group? The fox would always seek to guard the chickens!

“Children are sexual beings in various ways,” intones Bancroft. He says it is tragic that “we don't know very much about that.” Let me save everyone some time. What the covert child molester-biased Kinsey Institute group will “scientifically” find will rescue the sex industry (sex “education,” Planned Parenthood, pornographers, etc.) from future public exposure and class action lawsuits.

Like Kinsey’s 1948 and 1953 research, these phony data will be broadcast worldwide by an “unplanned” publicity blitz and marketed as though these were meticulously assembled facts about child sex (always with parents present *of course*) just as Kinsey fallaciously claimed. The results will be cited in academic journals of every discipline. The popular press will quote the Kinsey Institute committee gurus, citing the team’s “surprise” discovery (as did Kinsey) that children are allegedly libidinous in the womb where they allegedly masturbate (based on phony sonograms) and that loving homosexual or heterosexual promiscuous sex is rewarding and life saving for many children whose loneliness is thus alleviated by an adult who cares and who looks after them, etc.

The sexperts will “find” that the only real harm from pornography and adults having sex with children (as Kinsey said) are the result of uptight, repressive views of parents and religious, conservative, fearful, repressed, narrow minded, jealous, right wing
fanatics so we should lower or eliminate age of consent (as Kinsey said) we should sign the United Nations declaration on the “rights of the child” which would free children from parental domination and allow them (at any age) to choose sex, pornography, drugs--should they so desire.

*Fortunes are made by those who would sexualize our children.* And, as child molesters increase exponentially, their perverse number spirals ever upward among covert state and national leaders everywhere—legislators, judges, teachers and scientists included. As child pornography and prostitution emerge as a major national growth industry, the carefully selected Kinsey Institute committee investigating “child sexuality” will reap their desired privileges and rewards.

**The Scope Of The Child Exploitation Pandemic**

After decades of marketing child “sexuality” and promiscuity as harmless, school sex educators helped make child sex Big Business. There are hundreds of thousands of child sex abuse “consumers,” with more being spawned daily by the Internet, as the industry grows exponentially to supply their demands and to increase the child abuse consumer population. Only our waning child protective laws and our allegedly prudish American public is containing this latest class of venture capitalists.

In 1972 Senator Birch Bayh, (D-IN), liberal former Chairman of the US Senate Subcommittee to Investigate Juvenile Delinquency, confirmed that investigators put boy prostitution at roughly 500,000 victims. Lower yet still shocking figures, funded in part by the U.S. Department of Justice, estimates 300,000-400,000 American children are yearly exploited by adults in various forms of sex commerce. Although homosexuals are only 1\textsuperscript{1} to 2\% of the male population, at least fifty percent of the child victims are boys abused by men.

This...is the first truly comprehensive look at child sexual exploitation in the United States. Within the last five years, with the explosion of Internet use, there has been a remarkable increase in sex crimes against juveniles. The Internet has increased sex offenders' demand for new faces and younger children. Many law enforcement officials were not aware of the explosive growth in the last five years in this crime...Boys are victims just as brutally and frequently as girls -- they just don't get as much attention.

Despite parents careful watch over their children, scores of little boys and girls are annually kidnapped, commonly raped and some killed. Now the FBI reports “50,000 missing children” in the USA, No such FBI data were recorded in the 1950s.

**Public Libraries Cultivate Child Sexual Abuse**

Meanwhile, thanks to the political power of the American Library Association, your local library is home to every form of paroled and unconvicted sexual criminal. Here, in our schools and libraries, the front lines of the battle for our children’s minds and
future income is being waged by Internet pornography. The Library Journal, a national library publication, reported that:

43 percent of libraries had filtering software on their Internet-connected computers in 2001, a jump from just 31 percent a year earlier. The increase coincides with the passage of the Children's Internet Protection Act (CIPA), which requires federally funded schools and libraries to begin blocking Web material deemed inappropriate for minors or risk losing money.

Now the battle is being joined between the warring camps.

[A] group of free-speech advocates, including the American Library Association and the American Civil Liberties Union, have sued to block the law, saying it violates free-speech rights by, among other things, blocking content that's legally protected for adults.”

San Francisco officials would forfeit federal library funds in order to filter only for children “under the age of 13.”

Naturally the Kinsey Institute supports the ALA and the ACLU since all three groups, financially indebted to “grant” money from pornography donors, promote the pornography traffic. Later we shall address pornography’s damage to children’s developing brains. For now we ask, are the rights of adults to media-induced-sexual-arousal more important than children’s rights to a reality-based and balanced brain-mind and memory?

Either in full view or behind bogus “privacy screens,” their libidos activated by consuming Internet child and adult pornography, predators conduct illegal sexual acts in public, deliberately traumatizing and victimizing the class of children and women who attempt to use our libraries for bone fide educational and recreational purposes.

**Paroled Pedophiles Lusting At Your Local Library**

Post the Kinsey-triggered sexual revolution schools and public libraries became a haven for pornography and eventually for the violent sexual predators who feed on this stimuli. The Miami Herald interviewed a police officer involved in the hunt for Internet child molesters.

The Internet is a new playground for pedophiles … We’ve arresting firemen, lawyers, judges, teachers, coaches, cops – you name it…. The task force arrested a prominent lawyer and former judge… [arranging] a sexual relationship with a 14-year-old boy.

Note a few “on-site library” child pornography parolee crime examples: One paroled child molester, carrying a “backpack filled with pornographic magazines”
crawled under the library table to molest “a 6-year-old girl at Hayden library” in Spokane, Washington, while her father was at the desk getting her first library card. 21

As in most of these cases, the predator’s lawyer argued that the molester needed more “treatment.” However a recent study by Dr. Linda Jeffrey found a) “The Government Accounting Office reports no effective treatment to reduce recidivism in 550 therapeutic programs spanning 50 years.”22 Yet b) the liberal American Bar Association found 80% of convicted child molesters are paroled and serve no prison time. Instead, the predator receives tax paid “treatment for his sexual orientation to children.”23

Another paroled child molester “downloaded child pornography from computers at the [Chicago] Vernon Hills Public Library” and was arrested when he allegedly “returned to the library in December to download” more child pornography despite being confined to home for an earlier child pornography conviction. 24 Another paroled child molester at the Grand Rapids Michigan Public Library was arrested after “e-mailing nude photos of himself to a 14-year-old girl.”25

Marauding, Molesting Teachers and School Superintendents

As inexpensive as are computers now, only the most out-of-control predators would risk stimulating their libidos in a public library. Yet, all across the nation, friends, neighbors and responsible, educated professionals risk their reputations, lives and families in their lust for child pornography. Just a few recent examples:

A camp counselor was sentenced to 65 years in prison for taking partially nude photographs of teen boys while they slept and sending them through the Internet to a friend overseas. 26 A Frederick County drama teacher was indicted in August on federal child pornography charges.27

The president of the Broward Teachers Union since 1979 was charged with mailing Internet pornography to an undercover law enforcement officer posing as a 14-year-old girl. 28

A famous Norfolk County, Massachusetts doctor who “burned the midnight oil cruising for sex on the Internet” was on trial for murdering his wife, allegedly because she discovered his secret Internet sex life “eight hours before she was murdered.”29

A college professor was indicted for distributing thousands of images of child pornography on the Internet…pandering obscenity involving a minor, promoting prostitution, unauthorized use of property, wiretapping and tampering with evidence.30

A Waukegan Wisconsin teacher was arrested for “using the Internet to arrange a sexual encounter with an undercover police officer posing as a 14-year-old girl… child enticement and solicitation.” 31

A Fairhaven High School science and math teacher and divorced father, engaged in on-line sex acts after having recruited teenage girls to watch him.32
A Perry Iowa Middle School principal was charged with child pornography and “sexual exploitation of a minor.”

A Hammonton New Jersey school district superintendent was arrested for sexually explicit Internet conversations with what he thought was a 13-year-old girl.

A Lowpoint-Washburn Illinois school superintendent used the school district computer to view Internet pornographic sites.

A Burrillville school superintendent and his alleged ex-lover, director of a day care center, “exchanged sexually explicit pictures of children in a series of e-mails while they were having an affair in the 1990s “when the superintendent’s lover was president of the Parent Teacher Organization.”

A Pell City Board of Education chairman and Homewood, Alabama chamber of commerce official with an office in the basement of the Homewood public library plead guilty to Internet child pornography.

A Sunday school teacher in Tulsa Oklahoma got more than 24 years in prison for Internet-associated sex crimes against minors.

A school bus driver is charged with Internet child pornography involving four boys…sodomy, aggravated sexual abuse, sexual abuse and endangering the welfare of a child. This is a rare admission of boy victims commonly obscured as “child.”

When doctors, teachers, superintendents, principals, school or library board members are secret sexual predators, honesty and justice are polluted, influencing votes, jobs, medical, legal and other decisions. Note the following judge and city mayor:

“Judge Molestation” Ron Craver Kline “An Orange County Superior Court judge was indicted on federal charges of possessing child pornography [and] lewd conduct with a 12-year-old boy. Kline wrote one boy that he cared about him, requesting that the boy “please not bring pajamas” on their planned vacation.

Waterbury Connecticut Mayor Philip Giordano, the Republican who ran unsuccessfully against Senator Joseph Lieberman in the fall of 2001, was arrested for using the Internet to “lure a minor under the age of 16 into sex.”
Survivors Of Educator Abuse Emerge (Sesame)

While judges, prosecutors and doctors have been convicted of child sexual abuse, child predators independently and organizationally, seek out jobs or volunteer where they can have legitimate and easy access to children, in libraries, and schools as music, team coaches, scout, youth leaders and such. The pederast magazine Palavar warns molesters how to avoid arrest while obtaining children.

“If you want to spend all your time with children you must have a legitimate reason for doing so; as a teacher helping children learn...as a social worker helping children in difficulties; as a play or youth leader helping children to enjoy their leisure time...child care, youth work, teaching...charity and voluntary organizations catering for the needs of children; there is the Free School movement; children’s rights’ and various alternative life-style projects...We believe that a paedophile relationship is no less legitimate and no more potentially harmful to a child than a normal parental relationship.”43 [Emphasis added.]

“SESAME,” which calls itself “A Voice for the Prevention of Sexual Exploitation, Abuse, and Harassment of Students by Teachers and Other School Staff,” points to a toxic, predatory and significant subset of the teaching population. SESAME reports that the Journal of Education Research (1991) finds in its “Survey of high school graduates ...13.5% of those surveyed said they had engaged in sexual intercourse with a teacher.”44

15% Of Students Say Sexually Abused By Faculty Or Staff

In yet another survey reported by SESAME, “The best estimate is that 15% of students will be sexually abused by a member of the school staff during their school career.”45 46 And, “Many abusers are in positions of power or trust in relation to their victims which makes it easier to overcome a child's resistance.”47

Five Child molester Headmasters: With today’s opportunities to eroticize the library and the school, responsible adults are obliged to question which of those adults “helping” children on sexuality issues are themselves child molesters or their naïve supporters. We now know Kinsey, for example, was deeply influenced by five paedophile headmasters who were quite clear they had very warm relationships with young adolescent boys of twelve or thirteen [in one New England area].48
Particularly as pornography seduces and habituates even well educated men and women, vulnerable boys and girls are increasingly at risk from this growing molester constituency. Just in the last few years the National Institutes of Health luminary and Nobel Prize winner, Dr. Carleton Gajdusek, American University President Dr. Richard Berendzen and American University psychology department head Elliot McGinnies, were each convicted of child molestation associated felonies. Degrees in higher education no longer appear to work to restrain many child molesters.

The ALA, ACLU and Kinsey Institute Are Pornography Grantees

Since both have been funded by pornographers, on the evidence, the American Library Association (ALA) and the Kinsey Institute are pornographically compromised. As a member of The Media Coalition, the ALA commonly acts as a friend of pornographers in court cases, while a law suit by the Kinsey Institute settled in 1957 broke the ban against transporting pornography through the U.S. mails based on Kinsey’s false claim that his interest was for “scientific” and non “prurient” purposes.

In New York v. Ferber (458 U.S. 103, 1982) the ALA argued for Ferber, claiming parents and guardians rights—to use, coerce or force their children of all ages—to be filmed or photographed in “Sexual Conduct,” defined as:

\[A\]ctual or simulated sexual intercourse, deviate sexual intercourse, sexual bestiality, masturbation, sadomasochistic abuse or lewd exhibition of the genitals.

The U.S. Supreme Court unanimously ruled against Ferber, noting this would legalize child sexual abuse (as a for-profit family business). In 1994 the ALA was repudiated by the United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia for the ALA’s defense of child pornographers. San Francisco’s ALA gave its library rooms to NAMBLA (the North American Man Boy Love Association) as a meeting place. The use of its prestige to aid child molesters/pornographers further supports the ALA’s financial and personal commitment to normalizing a historically "anti-American" cultural standard -- adult sexual assault of children.

The pornographer-ALA control of our tax funded public libraries and librarians is impressively demonstrated by the union’s refusal to filter criminally violent pornography even from children, despite a mandate from elected American legislators.
Briefly: Pornography’s Effect On The Developing Brain

Stepping now into cellular reality; reading must be taught. Seeing is instinctive. So, while the undeveloped child brain is often unable to process text, it is psychopharmacologically altered by pornographic stimuli during waking and sleeping. This invalidates free speech opinions of “informed consent” as the neurochemistry of the child’s brain, sense of self and reality is altered, negatively “impact[ing] on the immune system.”

The following are short excerpts from the author’s monograph, “The Psychopharmacology of Pictorial Pornography: Restructuring Brain, Mind & Memory,” (originally written for the Ontario Human Rights Commission) available on request.

How does pornography affect the scientifically encoded view of the three main functions, goals and objectives of the developing human brain? These are: 1) to be alert, awake, aware of reality; 2) to collect and store environmental information; and 3) to monitor and correct our conduct for health and well-being? The above graphic, taken from the National Institute of Health brochure, addresses the differences between the adult and child brain, specifically the child’s “gut” emotional responses to fearful and/or sexually arousing, confusing, anxiety producing stimuli.

Teens [and children] process emotions differently than adults. Viewing emotional images…teens... activated the amygdala, a brain center that mediates fear and other “gut” reactions, more than the frontal [rational] lobe.

So, how is the developing brain restructured by the pornographic vision of reality? Such states of sexual or fear arousal (a child’s response to a flash of pornographic stimuli), says neuropsychologist Margaret Kemeny, trigger an “an adrenaline rush, our pupils dilate, and our heart starts to race.”

What happened to the brains minds and memories of the nine out of ten children between eight and 16 years old, that the London School of Economics reported, had seen degrading Internet pornography? About half of the children in the study were approached by strangers in "chatrooms," some for sex. Most of the children’s initial pornography access was unintentional. What kind of fear and shame and curiosity did the nascent 11-year-old libido experience when that child logged into a website labeled "Adolf Hitler pictures" and instead saw "gaysexfreepics" child pornography?

And how did the nascent libidos of the 10 and 12 year old brothers respond to the homosexual pornography they viewed instead of the band “Boyxone”? What of the 10 year old girl who saw topless women instead of the Spice Girls? Or how was the brain-mind-memory of the 13-year-old girl researching the White House restructured when she got a porn site, or the 15-year-old girl researching "money" when she got a prostitution website?
The Kaiser Family Foundation Survey

And what follow-up is there for these violated children? How many, confused by fear and premature sexual stimulation will act out what they have seen—and what is commonly, involuntarily, replayed in their unconscious sleep—on innocent family, friends, strangers?

The very liberal Kaiser Family Foundation admitted that in their sample of 15-24 year-olds surveyed, 45% of those exposed to Internet pornography reported they were “very” or “somewhat” upset while 55% were “not too” upset or “not at all” upset.

Kaiser does not reveal what percentage of their child subjects said they were “not too upset” (which means they were “upset”!). Clearly a majority of the Kaiser children said they were “upset” by online pornography exposure. In yet another violation of common scientific protocol, Kaiser does not reveal the gender or ages of their “upset” versus “not at all” upset children.

Moreover, Kaiser admitted that two out of three (65%) children said pornography could have a “serious impact” on children under 18. A seriously negative impact is implicit. While Kaiser, again, chose to avoid revealing their definition of “impact” they do disclose 59% of the Kaiser children warning that pornography encourages children to have sex.

Kaiser reports that among the 95% of all 15-17 year-olds who have ever gone online 67% want laws requiring Internet filters in schools and libraries, while fully seventy percent say they have accidentally stumbled across pornography online, 23% “very” or somewhat “often.”

If Libraries Encourage Readers They Encourage Pornography Consumers

What happens to these children (“seeing is believing”) as they process the shocking exaggerated, impossible, demeaning, heartless, soulless distortions of pornographic performers? NIH neurologist Paul McLean writes:

Man relies largely on vision to relieve his uncertainty about the nature of things…Given the seed of suspicion, the human mind is capable of developing any kind of paranoid hybrid …[There is] an unpleasant feeling of fear attached to something that cannot be clearly identified.

And, MacLean’s observations that the brain cannot distinguish real from false images is critical for children whose “plastic” brains, in developmental formation, are viewing the bizarre world of pornographic displays

When nature gave man the prefrontal neocortex for anticipation and connected it with his cortical areas, she failed to provide a radar antenna and viewing screen. …[The] biological evidence [is] that
learning involves a physical change in the circuitry of the brain…

[T]he brain processes a visual image, the signal from the screen flashes though the eyes and rushes through the brain in 3/10ths of a second.64

Pornographic imagery perceived by the brain as reality, is uniquely powerful because, its false information and associated emotions can have long-lasting effects on the brain structure, memory, and the libido. Drs. Restak, Edward Sheradin, MacLean,65 and other specialists, agree that the scholarly data find no apparatus to distinguish real images from media fantasy. The brain processes as real what the eyes see.

**Primitive, Potent Passions Bypass Thought and Speech**

Neuropsychologist Daniel Goleman reports that strong memories commonly overwhelm weaker memories and that sensory signals from eye or ear reach the amygdala for response—even in adults--long before the neocortex is alerted:

> [F]eelings...bypass the neocortex. Those feelings that take the direct route through the amygdala include our most primitive and potent; this circuit does much to explain the power of emotion to overwhelm rationality...[LeDoux discovered]...something like a neural back alley—[that] allows the amygdala to receive some direct inputs from the senses and start a response before they are fully registered by the neocortex.66

Gary Lynch of the University of California at Irvine points out that a word or sight, libidinous or spiritual, can immediately alter brain structure:

> [I]n a matter of seconds, taking an incredibly modest signal, a word....which is in your head as an electrical signal for no more than a few seconds, can...leave a trace that will last for years. 67

And, UCLA psychologist, Dr. Margaret Kemeny explained to television maven, Bill Moyers:

> Although it seems intangible, anytime we feel anything, anytime we think anything, anytime we imagine anything, there is activity in the brain that is taking place in the body at that time. That activity can then lead to a cascade of changes in the body that have an impact on health. 68

In sum, “virtual” or real pornographic images will trigger similar, measurable states of nascent or mature libidinous arousal registered as lust, shame, fear and the like, capturing “large areas of uncommitted brain tissue [that] can be molded...to the demands of a particular environment.”69 This is an environment in which children’s experiences of “fear” and other primitive “gut” reactions to sex overwhelm cognition.70

**4,200 to 19,000 Rapes/Sex Assaults “In Schools;” 60% of Colleges Report Stalking**
The U.S. Department of Education and the Department of Justice “Indicators of School Crime and Safety 1999” report (1996 to 1997) reported 4,200 cases of “rape or other type of sexual battery” against students (forcible sodomy, etc.) as well as over a 9% increase in what are called “nonfatal crimes” against children, away from school.

I confirmed a small *New York Times* mention of school sex abuse in a DOJ report estimating “12,000 rapes and sexual assaults” “inside school building/on school property” in 1994, with DOJ estimating 19,000 such school-based rapes and sexual assaults in 1999. Whether these are real measures or just bizarre DOJ estimates, the “free press” mandate is to inform the polity regarding events meaningful to our lives and our concerns so that we may make proper decisions about these issues. Yet school primary school sexual violence data are seldom seen as a major press headline, in say, the newspaper of record, nor on major television news, where it belongs.

You may however have read a *USA Today* item reporting “stalking” as a common crime in U.S. and Canadian colleges. While campus rape is now all too frequent—Ivy League to local college--of 274 centers responding to an International Association of Counseling Services survey of U.S. and Canadian college campuses; 89% reported students hospitalized for psychological problems (including suicide) while an astounding 60% reported “students counseled in stalking incidents” (no victim gender is provided) in 2000-2001.

One fallout from either forced or “consensual” early sexual activity tends to be the child’s belief that s/he brought this sex crime (stalking, rape, fondling, etc.) upon herself or himself and thus must be “bad.” It is therefore not uncommon for child sex victims to engage in sexually promiscuous conduct after their violation, including prostitution; being paid to perform acts they were originally tricked or forced into.

But such activity has been brazenly encouraged by school sex educrats who seduce their young charges into hetero/homosexual promiscuity via “non-judgmental” graphically libidinous “sex education” materials, with the premier sex education training center, The Institute For the Advanced Study of Human Sexuality requiring that their sexology graduates support legalization of child prostitution and child pornography.

Now, public librarians--controlled by the Kinseyan contaminated ALA—further corrupt the public environment by turning this critical social resource into the equivalent of local “bars” that fuel up predators of every deviant stripe, especially those who are “stalkers” of their library, school or neighborhood prey.
Which brings us fore square back to Indiana University’s toxic Kinsey Institute. In the recent Kinsey Today newsletter, John Bancroft released the public relations announcement explaining the Kinsey Institute’s “Sexual Development Conference: Mapping Uncharted Territory.”

Bancroft carefully selected like-minded eductrats whose “level-headed” research on “child sexuality” will redress Kinsey’s brutal child sexuality crimes reported in his Male and Female volumes. The Kinsey Institute republished both books in 1998--absent disclaimers.

Just as Indiana University marketed the deviant and sadistic Kinsey as a suit-and-tie, clean shaven neutral academic, just so does Indiana University market Kinsey’s heir, Dr. Bancroft as a conservatively sophisticated and neutral academic. It took 50 years to expose Kinsey and his hand-picked team as sexual predators who thrilled to the secret child sex experiments and secret filming of their illegal sex orgies in public baths, in the Kinsey home attic and in IU’s sound proofed campus offices.

As many now know, the Kinsey team had employed scores of child molesters rape and sodomize 317 to 2,035 fainting, convulsing and screaming infants and children (the youngest, said Kinsey, being 2 months old) for their child sexuality “data.” At least one of Kinsey’s child molester coworkers was a German Nazi, Dr. Friz von Balluseck, living in Frankfort Germany who followed Kinsey’s directions in a kind of international how-to-rape-a-child-scientifically-correspondence course. Impossible? Listen to Tim Tate, NESCO and Amnesty International Award-winning producer/director:

In the course of producing my documentary—Kinsey’s Paedophiles—it became clear that every substantive allegation Reisman made was not only true but thoroughly sourced with documentary evidence—despite the Kinsey Institute’s reluctance to open its files.

“Objective” Sex Scientists Defraud the Polity

Both of Kinsey’s recent admiring biographers admit that the father of the sexual revolution was a bi/homosexual, pornographically obsessed sadomasochist who only “enjoyed” sex if he was brutally tortured, even circumcising himself with a knife sans anesthesia. Therefore it makes sense that Kinsey would tell the world that sodomized babies who convulsed, who were “hysterically weeping” and trying to “get away” from their rapists, really “definitely enjoyed…the experience.” And it makes sense that Kinsey’s pornography-funded followers will try to “prove” Kinsey was right all along.

In 2001 David Finkelhor, director of the “Crimes Against Children Research Center” at the University of New Hampshire, a Kinsey Conference attendee, co-authored a monograph for The U.S. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) claiming a “Decline in Child Sexual Abuse Cases.” The Jones-Finkelhor research ignored child sex abuse victims under age 12 as well as the 19,000 recorded school sex assaults in 1999 with no mention of the 300,000 to 400,000 child victims
reported in the Estes data cited earlier. Jones-Finkelhor apparently labeled commercial child exploitation a “consensual” activity.

Another Kinsey Institute discussant, Anke Ehrhardt was Dr. John Money’s long-time co-author. Money was the discredited scientist responsible for training doctors worldwide in “gender reassignments,” (carving girls out of baby boys) when doctors decided the phallus was inadequate, etc. Ehrhardt never disclosed Money’s scientific frauds and crimes against children, including his 1991 interview in *Paidika, The Journal of Paedophilia*, when he advocated sex with children and legalizing sexual murder, should the boy in a pedophile “sex ritual” allegedly “consent” to die. 86

Said Money’s sexpert colleague Ehrhardt,87 "Sexuality isn't something that just suddenly starts in adolescence. We need to take a long-term look at sexual development." The attendees eagerly nod. They will "educate" us about “real” child sexuality.

Bancroft, Ehrhardt, et al., would dispose of the hormonal changes of puberty as the physiological beginning of children’s sexual stirrings. Instead, this multidisciplinary sexpert gang would legalize Kinsey’s infant and child “sexuality” norm. Said another conference attendee:

> It's very important to bring together people with different backgrounds to focus on children's sexuality, because this area is typically looked at only through the lens of abuse. Normal developmental issues have been almost entirely neglected and ignored. 88

**To Circumvent The Backlash Against Sex “Educators”**

The mounting American anger, fear and outrage at child sex abuse requires a major preemptive strike by child sex traffickers. Ergo, the Kinsey child sex conference that they said, “comes at a critical historical moment.” For this the Kinsey Institute launched ‘Totalitarian Propaganda 101.’ They brought “psychologists, psychiatrists, clinicians, historians, anthropologists, sociologists, social workers, and other professionals to the table.” These men and women will serve the interests of the Kinsey Institute, the ALA and pornographers.

The two recent “developmental issues” which must be vigorously countered by Kinseyans appeared in *Psychoneuroendocrinology* and in *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. Both studies revealed that early sexual exposure involving sexual activity has lasting, detrimental effects on children. 89 Moreover, the findings fully support the information on brain-mind and memory discussed earlier in this paper.

The first study used magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to examine the brains of 32 adults, 18 to 22, half of whom had been sexually abused. The latter “had changes in the blood flow and function of a brain region called the cerebellar vermis,” which changes when people abuse drugs.
This part of the brain has been recently implicated in the coordination of emotional behavior, is strongly affected by alcohol, cocaine, and other drugs of abuse, and may help regulate dopamine, a neurotransmitter critically involved in addiction.90

The cerebellar vermis develops slowly and can be affected easily by stress hormones, producing brain damage. Another study just released by a University of Buffalo, New York team, found post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) can also increase the craving for drugs in abuse victims91 said psychiatry professor Scott Coffey:

From our research with trauma victims, we know that intrusive trauma memories are very upsetting to patients, and now we have shown that these trauma memory-induced negative emotions increase craving in substance abusers with PTSD.

Neither William Friedrich (the Mayo Psychiatry and Psychology Treatment Center), Ehrhardt, Finklehor or any other conference attendees challenged the Kinsey Institute as an international pornography advocate and trafficker—as producing perhaps thousands of child trauma victims—and as crafting the child sex fraud that launched the current child sex abuse epidemic in the first place.

**Funding The Kinsey Institute “Sexual Development Conference”**

Funded by the W. T. Grant Foundation, The Kinsey Institute has also received direct and indirect funds from federal and state largess, Ford, Rockefeller and other “philanthropists.” The group “consensus statement on normal childhood sexual development” is planned:

[T]he Sexual Development Conference…brought the right people together to address the topic in an authoritative, serious, open way. We wanted to try to carefully map out what is known and what is not known, and start filling in the gaps. This conference was an essential first step in that direction.92

The upcoming Kinsey Institute committee report on child sexuality will, as discussed earlier, provide cover to the failed sex education programs of Planned Parenthood, SIECUS, and their satellites, giving these organizations ammunition to justify their history of a) pandering pornography to children and b) encouraging any form of normal or deviant sex, including sex with adults. The PP “manifesto” released in April proclaimed that children of all ages should have the right to express sexuality “in the way they choose...[and] must be supported by laws that will allow them to act freely in the way they choose to live their lives.”93 Indeed, when trusted adults convince children they are “sexual beings” who can live their lives as sexual adventurers, then child sexuality becomes Biggg Business.
The Kinsey Institute conference is designed to help undermine recent studies proving (gosh) child sexual abuse is harmful! The Kinsey Institute used their fraudulent "scientific" findings from child sex offenders to spawn the field of human sexuality and to “prove” that not only are children sexual from birth but that forced sex at any age is pleasurable and beneficial for the abused children. The theories, beliefs and practices of Planned Parenthood, SIECUS, et al., that underpin all comprehensive or “accredited” sex education are based on these fraudulent and criminally violent acts committed by the Kinsey Institute against children.

**Conclusion: From a Child Centered to a Child Abuse Culture**

We asked at the outset why, in the 1950s the United States was known as a “child centered culture” and why now we are known as a “child abuse culture?” What went wrong between 1950 and today?

We discovered that for over 50 years our moral culture--especially the idea that caring for and protecting children was of a significantly higher value than permitting open access for adult sexual pleasure--has sustained an unrelenting bombardment by “civil libertarians” falsely wrapped in the flag of “Free Speech” rights. The result is our current child abuse culture, festering and breeding widespread brutality and a return to the darkest abyss of primitive barbarism.

Unless our polity decides to do battle for our children—as in a real war—the Kinsey Institute, its new committee examining “child sexuality” and pornography’s other fellow travelers will finally realize the ambition of its sexual psychopathic founder, Dr. Alfred Kinsey. If they have their way, be assured, no child will be safe in a library, a school, a church, or in ones own upscale, suburban back yard, even if dad and mom do stand but a few feet away.94
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ADDENDUM

In 2000, a group of Minnesota Public Librarians became so fearful for their own personal safety and the safety of children that they filed an Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) lawsuit against the Minneapolis Library System—charging that the sexually explicit materials they were forced to see created a hostile work environment. In May, 2001, the EEOC ruled in their favor. Judith Krug, Director of the far left ACLU/Media Coalition funded group the Office For Intellectual Freedom American Library Association, reported that “the
Minneapolis Public Library was told they should pay money damages of $75,000 to each “complaining librarian.

   The EEOC ruling means that libraries without filtering systems on their computers are forcing their employees to work in unsafe and sexually hostile working environments. These employees can legally sue their employers for damages!