

# History & Science: Erototoxic Images Drive Sex Trafficking Demand

Judith Reisman, PhD


Modern pornographers are the most successful global crusaders in world history. They have captured the brains, minds and memories of people of every culture, race, class, religious leaning (including atheist and agnostic), age, and gender, and spawned an unprecedented traffic in reproductive organs as mere tools for orgiastic penetration. On point, a 2009 Princeton neuroimaging study of bikini-clad women's images lit up the "premotor cortex, posterior middle temporal gyrus," the areas for "tool-use or manipulable objects." The research findings suggest sexy images change females "from people to interact with, to objects to act upon."<sup>1</sup> *Newsflash!*

Science and history support this conclusion. French Jules Verne scientists find the brain's mirror neurons light up, recall, and *anticipate* a sexy film scene.<sup>2</sup> Brain research, confirms that for nearly six decades the brain architecture of millions have been neuronally restructured by *Playboy* and its subsequent media satellites. Pornography, "sexually explicit media," function as "Erototoxins," the *toxic side of eros*, converting complex persons worldwide into objects one 'screws into' via one or more of several orifices.

Four scientific disciplines: Ethology, Neuropsychology, Psychopharmacology and Proxemics confirm a "morally" measurable reality for *healthy survivor-based sexual behavior*. The sexual revolution's *Sex Industrial Complex* (defined below) profits globally as Big Pornography increases a) male impotence, 'saved' by b) Big Pharma nostrums and c) prostituted women and children.

## Pornographers Boast They "WON!"

Figure 1. A Pornographer's Flag Over the Capitol Speaks to Legislative Power



In his January 2004 issue, Hugh Hefner thanks Alfred Kinsey's "theory" of sex for replacing the Stars and Stripes with his pornographic flag over the US Capitol. This *Playboy* flagship story reads "WE WON!" over global and USA governments by sexually retraining judges, justice officials, presidents, teachers, clergy, parents, etc. As the world is awash in adult and child prostitution and pornography, child and adult sexual abuse of infants and children, etc., we do well to track how

pornography “WON!” In *Take Back the Night, Women on Pornography*, 1979, “Playboy Isn’t Playing” I documented *Playboy* as deliberately launching a demand for the sex traffic in women and children.<sup>3</sup>

Now *Playboy’s* website claims “10 million” USA users monthly and confirms it is a global sex guide for many millions sexually training the UK and “18 international editions of the magazine, bringing *Playboy’s* global readership to almost 15 million” in “Brazil, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain and Taiwan” with “over 50 *Playboy* and *Spice* networks in 50 countries throughout Europe, Latin America, and Asia” and TV, DVDs and home videos in “200 countries and territories.”<sup>4</sup> It seems fair to say *Playboy* led the attack on the Bible in western society as the premier sex instructor of mind and body for young and old. In fact, the maiden December, 1953 issue of *Playboy* sought a *global sex traffic* labeling all wives as gold diggers, reveling in jokes, stories, and images prostituting Haitian and American women and displaying a French woman hired to be stripped by a collection of affluent men.


Figure 3.2. Selected Findings from the 1985 DoJ/OJJDP Reisman Study

<b>SELECTED FINDINGS</b>					
<b>Child Depictions</b>			<b>Adult Crime and Violence Depictions</b>		
<b>Basic Facts:</b>			<b>Basic Facts:***</b>		
49% (2,971) photographs			42% (6,273) photographs		
34% (2,016) cartoons			36% (5,338) cartoons		
17% (1,017) illustrations			22% (3,243) illustrations		
<b>PRINCIPAL CHILD</b>	<b>AND</b>	<b>OTHER CHARACTER</b>	<b>VICTIM</b>	<b>AND</b>	<b>OFFENDER</b>
		<i>Where Depicted</i>			<i>Where depicted</i>
47% female		49% male	46% male		54% male
32% male	<b>SEX</b>	35% female	43% female	<b>SEX</b>	22% female
21% both/other		16% both/other	11% both/other		24% both/other
39% 3-11 years		78% adults	66% 18-39 years		57% 18-39 years
26% 12-17 years		7% 3-11 years	15% 40-60 years		17% 40-60 years
16% fetus-2 years	<b>AGE</b>	7% 12-17 years	3% 61 + years	<b>AGE</b>	3% 61 + years
14% pseudo children (*)		6% unspecified	16% unspec/mixed		23% unspec/mixed
5% unspecified		2% fetus-2 years			
85% Caucasian		85% Caucasian	85% Caucasian		82% Caucasian
3% Black	<b>RACE</b>	3% Black	2% Black	<b>RACE</b>	2% Black
12% Other minority		12% Other minority	13% Other minority		16% Other minority
<b>Sample Child Depictions (Nonadditive)</b>			<b>Sample Crime and Violence Depictions: (Nonadditive)</b>		
29% nude/genital display (visuals only)			56% violent props (Visuals only)		
21% visually exposed/sexualized			32% nude and/or sexualized victims		
20% genital activity			14% killing/murder		
16% sexual encounter with adult			14% assault/battery		
10% force			12% sex dealing/prostitution		
10% killing/murder/maiming			12% violent sex act		
6% internal genital (“pink”) exposure (visuals only coded)			12% other violent activity		
4% sex with animals/objects			11% white collar crime		
<b>Share of Child Depictions by Magazine**</b>			<b>Share of Crime and Violence Depictions by Magazine:</b>		
<i>Playboy</i> : 8 avg/issue (5% of <i>Playboy</i> cartoons/visuals)			<i>Playboy</i> : 21 avg/issue (12% of cartoons/visuals)		
<i>Penthouse</i> : 6 avg/issue (4% of <i>Penthouse</i> cartoons/visuals)			<i>Penthouse</i> : 16 avg/issue (10% of cartoons/visuals)		
<i>Hustler</i> : 14 avg/issue (12% of <i>Hustler</i> cartoons/visuals)			<i>Hustler</i> : 32 avg/issue (26% of cartoons/visuals)		
<i>Playboy</i> highest year: 1971 (N=187, or 16 per issue)			<i>Playboy</i> highest year: 1976 (N=490, or 41 per issue)		
<i>Penthouse</i> highest year: 1972 (N=131, or 11 per issue)			<i>Penthouse</i> highest year: 1980 (N=271, or 23 per issue)		
<i>Hustler</i> highest year: 1978 (N=228, or 19 per issue)			<i>Hustler</i> highest year: 1984 (N=568, or 47 per issue)		
<b>Over 9,000 scenarios in <i>Playboy</i>, <i>Penthouse</i> and <i>Hustler</i> were identified as depicting characters under 18 years of age. From this population pool, a mere 6,004 scenarios met the project’s unusually narrow criteria for the analysis of child depictions.<sup>17</sup></b>			<b>AGGREGATE SHARE OF CHILDREN, CRIME AND VIOLENCE BY MAGAZINE</b>		
			<i>Playboy</i> : 29 average per issue		
			<i>Penthouse</i> : 22 average per issue		
			<i>Hustler</i> : 46 average per issue		

How did *Playboy* and its pornographic satellites sexually reprogram millions, nay trillions? As Principal Investigator for the peer approved U.S. Department of Justice grant, *Images of Children, Crime & Violence in Playboy, Penthouse and Hustler* (12/53-12/85, summary above)<sup>5</sup> my team catalogued 6,004 *child* cartoons, illustrations and photos; moreover, 14,854 images of adult crime and violence, merged in consumer brains, minds, and memories with over 50,000 nude, sexualized adult female images. Females were regularly cartooned ridiculing boys and men as *impotent*, too small or huge (phallus). Potency was revitalized by cartoons and photos of child and adult prostitution/pornography, incest, pseudo lesbians, solo and gang rape, stripping, sexual sadism, female human sacrifice, sexual harassment in the workplace, adultery, bestiality, drugging, kidnapping, rape of young girls, all demonstrating a general heterophobic, misogynist distrust/fear/anger toward females.<sup>6</sup>

Our research team found *Playboy* systemically led millions worldwide to see women, and later, little girls, as disloyal, stupid, manipulating, greedy sexual utensils, undamaged by rape or sexual torture.<sup>7</sup> *Playboy* started carving consumer brain networks linking children to sex with a November, 1954 black and white vignette where a diapered toddler solicits a prostitute—thematically at home.

**Figure 3. November 1954 *Playboy* Claims Toddler's Seek Sex**



A decade later *Playboy's* consumer neural pathways were processing little girls molested by fairy tale creatures. By the 1970s millions of users were unconsciously being restructured by full-color vignettes and even photos of adult and child rape/incest. Unconscious states of fear and lust merged as millions of naïve consumers experienced brain/memory Erototoxic architectural restructuring. By 1971 *Playboy* reached its zenith as 16 child images were commonly networked to a web of naked female exhibitionists each month.

After decades of stealth structural brain changes many consumers who once recognized and resisted dehumanized treatment of their wives, daughters or mothers, now laughed at cartooned rapes of women and children. Note, these vignettes were seen alongside drawings (*never photos*) declaring (*never showing*) their own impotence (long before "Erectile Dysfunction" was pandemic). Following these structural brain changes, *Playboy* added foreign film 'outcuts' of rape and child sexual abuse to

the viewer's orgasmic repertoire. Soon, confused consumers found themselves secretly masturbating to child abuse and rape stimuli—not just adult paper dolls.

Consumers were unaware that their brains, hormones, and libidos were being crippled and reshaped by *Playboy's* savvy marketers. Flagging libidos seek prostituted victims, erototoxic media, alcohol, drugs, little blue pills, sex therapy, etc. Eventually a pornographic mainstream media would emerge to groom a worldwide sex addict population.

## Kinsey's Pedagogy Promotes Prostitution, Pedophilia

Figure 4. Kinsey's Infant "Orgasm" Tests With Stop Watch, on Page 180

AGE	NO. OF ORGASMS	TIME INVOLVED	AGE	NO. OF ORGASMS	TIME INVOLVED
5 mon.	3	?	11 yr.	11	1 hr.
11 mon.	10	1 hr.	11 yr.	19	1 hr.
11 mon.	14	38 min.	12 yr.	7	3 hr.
2 yr.	{ 7	9 min.	12 yr.	{ 3	3 min.
	{ 11	65 min.		{ 9	2 hr.
2½ yr.	4	2 min.	12 yr.	12	2 hr.
4 yr.	6	5 min.	12 yr.	15	1 hr.
4 yr.	17	10 hr.	13 yr.	7	24 min.
4 yr.	26	24 hr.	13 yr.	8	2½ hr.
7 yr.	7	3 hr.	13 yr.	9	8 hr.
8 yr.	8	2 hr.		{ 3	70 sec.
9 yr.	7	68 min.	13 yr.	{ 11	8 hr.
10 yr.	9	52 min.		{ 26	24 hr.
10 yr.	14	24 hr.	14 yr.	11	4 hr.

**Table 34. Examples of multiple orgasm in pre-adolescent males**  
Some instances of higher frequencies.

In 1981 I presented a paper on "The Scientist as a Contributing Agent to Child Sexual Abuse" to the 5th World Congress of Sexology in Israel.<sup>8</sup> In nearly 2,000 pages, Alfred C. Kinsey's *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* (1948), and *Female* (1953) created the human sexuality ideas and "data" that launched the sexual revolution and a sexuality "profession." After reading Kinsey in college, Hugh Hefner published *Playboy* magazine, pledging he would be "Kinsey's pamphleteer" and his worldwide disciple.<sup>9</sup> Together, Hefner and a cadre of self-anointed sexuality professionals formed a Kinsey cabal.<sup>10</sup> Kinsey's votaries popularized his despicable sex-lies, including his Table 34 "orgasm" found above claiming "children are sexual from birth." A sexual "Johnny Appleseed," Kinsey's libidinous lies spread globally, spawning sexual license, a plethora of STDs, and unprecedented divorces and sexual disorders.<sup>11</sup>


The sexual revolution stood on Kinsey's lies that the WWII Generation were sexually promiscuous hypocrites and that their debauchery incurred no dire so-

cial costs. University law review journals straight away cited Kinsey to urge lowered sex crime penalties as “merely technical improvements” (Pennsylvania, 1947-1948), to end judicial “condemnation of sex offenders” (Pennsylvania, 1952), reduce sex crime penalties (Ohio, 1959), downplay boy prostitution (Duke, 1960), aid molesters—as children are seductive (Tennessee, 1965, Missouri, 1973,), show “beneficent concern for pedophiles” (Georgia, 1969), legalize prostitution (Maine, 1976), and so on.<sup>12</sup> Himself a closeted bi/homosexual, adulterer and pornography addict, Kinsey fit the profile of a sadomasochistic pedophile and launched the “sexual revolution,” or an addictive “dopamine revolution,” that Hefner would popularize worldwide.

The British medical journal, *The Lancet* asked why no one inquired how Kinsey knew a four-year-old had 26 “orgasms” in a “24 hour period”? Kinsey used prison inmates and sex offenders as “normal” men and as “sources of information on stimulation to orgasm in young children,”<sup>13</sup> some “orgasms” recorded by children who “wept,” “fought,” “fainted” and had “convulsions.”<sup>14</sup> Trusting their law journals, lawyers and judges convinced juries that as Kinsey claimed, Erototoxins would *reduce* rape, child sexual abuse, incest, etc. In 1955, the Kinsey-based American Law Institute Model Penal Code became sex law in every state, all or in part. Kinsey’s sexual lies would be clothed nationwide as sexual freedom.

## ***Playboy Vegas Seeks Young Prostitutes and Johns***

**Figure 5. Female Athlete Exhibits, Advertises “The Best Call Girl in Vegas”**



Since 1953 *Playboy* restructured global brains, and libidos with images, stories, and jokes pushing costly products along with illicit drugs, rape, incest, and the trafficking of women and girls. In the July, 2007 Amanda Beard issue (left), *Playboy* pimped “The Best Call Girl in Vegas.” Beard, a young athlete, was prostituted nude while shilling for *Playboy* prostitution. *Playboy* provided an address and web site with its copyrighted *Playboy* bunny logo for prostituted “Nikki’s Avalon,” sold in *Playboy*’s stable. Remember, Las Vegas is the international prosti-

tution hub for forced and so-called “voluntary” prostitution. Under cover of its gambling casino, *Playboy* pimps prostitution as a fun “profession,” a “home-based business,” paying \$400 an hour, “better than most shrinks’ rates.”

Young prostituted bodies decay rapidly, requiring an ever ready child pool. For years *Playboy* targeted “tweens.” In fact, the Scottish government recently began investigating *Playboy*’s child enticements via “its logo on products such


as pencil cases.”<sup>15</sup> *Playboy* panders its Vegas “oral,” “butt plug,” “DP” (double penetration), to young and old alike, for harmful, even fatal, sexual abuse.

## ***Playboy* Exploits Rape Murder of Catholic Schoolgirls**

This paper briefly looks at pornography’s role in accelerating the *contact demand* for sexual slavery and other cruelties. In 2004 an expert panel testified before the United States Senate on “the science behind pornography addiction.”<sup>16</sup> Dr. Mary Anne Layden, who treats sex offenders, stated that the brain scan reactions of subjects viewing pornography are “similar to cocaine addicts looking at images of people taking cocaine.”

Dr. Jeffrey Satinover testified that pornography, “a designer drug, delivered efficiently over the internet . . . does what heroin can’t do,” that pornography shapes libido toward coercion more than other “forms of sexual indoctrination.” I define *all* pornography as “eroto-toxins . . . [that] like sex, can trigger the release of natural opioids, which have a feel-good effect,” and quote studies finding “a visual image passes from the eye through the brain” in less than 3/10<sup>th</sup> of a second.<sup>17</sup> Like it or not, one’s brain is “structurally changed and memories are created”; we “literally ‘grow new brain’ with each visual experience.”<sup>18</sup>

**Figure 6. After The Trial: Raped, Tortured, Murdered Schoolgirls “Next Door”**



Consider this. After *Playboy* posed nine-year-old Brooke Shields oiled and naked in its book *Sugar 'n Spice* (1976) it was fair to ask if this global sex guide had any limits? One answer is found in the rape and murder of three Canadian Catholic schoolgirls. The girls, kidnapped and filmed while forced to strip out of their uniforms for their killers, was screened as evidence in an internationally reported court trial. *Playboy*'s schoolgirl issue was released March, 1996 just months after the sadistic pedophile husband and wife murderers were convicted. At right of the cover girl read “The Stripper Next Door” (think Canada) and below that “Serial Killer” above

the small insert of the schoolgirl stripping. The sexual high among unsuspecting millions who knew of the trial was measurable.

Big Pornography’s billions of dollars of profit creates a breakdown in justice for the powerless. This unethical, even criminal special interest group, eventually seduces media, legislators, judges, police, academics and other power brokers.<sup>19</sup> Along with the several U.S. pedo-politicians fortuitously ex-

posed, is the *Affaire Joris Demmink*. This liberal Dutch secretary general of the Ministry of Justice and other Dutch politicians and law enforcement officials are accused in a decades-long official pedophile cover-up.<sup>20</sup> Erototoxins cross all global barriers, restructuring the brains, minds, memories and conduct of all who are exposed and feeding the contact lust to violate prostituted women and children. Global sex slavery is now supported by the *Sex-Industrial Complex* merger of Big Pornography and Big Sexology with Big Pharma (the wealthiest lobby in history). Since Kinsey, the *Sex Industrial Complex's* media toadies successfully hid prostitution and pornography harms from public awareness. With that in mind, the following pages identify how *visual* (different from *text*)<sup>21</sup> Erototoxins affect accidental or voluntary viewers and why media prostitution cannot claim legal protection as speech.

How much do we really understand about the power of Eros? Non-reading children and illiterates can decode sexual pictures. The data are decisive; erotic stimuli hijack the little gray cells, cognition, and subvert the reasoning tasks of speech. Just as slaves are non-persons, the *testimonies* of child and adult victims of pornography/prostitution are ignored as non-persons by the power elite. However, at least four scientific disciplines legally identify all pornography, or Erototoxins, as the “philosophy” and methodology of sexual slavery.

## Four Scientific Disciplines: Sex Displays and Survival

### 1) Proxemics: Pornography/Prostitution and Private Space

Sane humans do not sexually display in public space because such private-space behavior endangers their survival. Prostituted women and children, nude dancers and strippers use rape sprays and pimps to protect and to provide some defensive barricade against inevitable attacks in the public square.<sup>22</sup>

The science of “Proxemics” measures our hard-wired, survivalist use of space. Sane people (especially females) are not nude nor sexually provocative to strangers in public space, streets, parks, stores, media, etc. Women cover their bodies lest they be molested or incarcerated in jails, asylums or even killed. *Private-space sexual behavior* displayed in the public forum is unsafe-suicidal behavior. Prostitutes or erotic photos of females are not marble statues or some elevated art display, even these are on record as kissed, assaulted, and knifed by viewers. It turns out that nudity and lust are only *safely given* in intimate space to a trusted beloved, a doctor, or family member. On nudist beaches, “staring” is verboten while native women keep their thighs closed tightly under those grass skirts.<sup>23</sup> Many females experience pangs of danger or jealousy viewing erotic female displays. Why? Obviously, some among the millions viewing libidinous images will attack proximate females. Logically, women’s emotional memory activates more than does men’s<sup>24</sup> while women suffer from anxiety disorders associated with serious traumatic events “about twice as often as in

men.”<sup>25</sup> The survival value in sensing pornographic danger may be seen in findings of women’s high rate of Post Traumatic Stress.<sup>26</sup> Erototoxins remold the libido and obliterate the private/public distinctions that protect women from imprudent, angry, entitled, libidinous males.

## 2) Ethology: Pornography/Prostitution and Animal Estrus

All erototoxins, stripping, nude dancing, etc, present females in poses that mimic the estrus (or "heat") of non-human animals. This triggers a state of mating/reproductive entitlements in aroused males—yet direct sexual contact is withheld. Thus do aroused men often merge lust with anger and frustration, causing a number of hostile, even violent acts toward women and children.

Like it or not, eroticized nudity is never simple. A study of estrus (primate heat) explains why sexual displays of women or children dehumanize and injure. Sex displays tell viewers that human females are in estrus. However, *human* females are wired NOT to experience estrus (i.e., “heat,” “rut,” wild, uncontrolled lust). Dworkin-MacKinnon define pornography as subordinating women “through pictures and/or words. . . dehumanized as sexual objects, things or commodities.”<sup>27</sup> This definition fits the brain data as well as “dehumanized” *animal estrus*. The Hon. Marlene Goldsmith (deceased) of New South Wales wrote that eroticized images of naked women show them “presenting as if in estrus,” as aroused and in poses evocative of animal sexuality. Since humans do not experience estrus “such poses are a lie.”<sup>28</sup> The 1989 testimony by communications specialists Zillmann and Bryant in Australia also unwittingly described the methodology of pornography as estrus, saying: “[W]omen in pornography have been characterized as....creatures who quiver at the sheer sight of a penis, who scream for sex, who squeal euphorically in response to any sexual or quasi-sexual stimulation to which they [are] subjected.”<sup>29</sup> Only non-human females present such mating signals and reactions. Yet, these animal estrus responses are increasingly expected of, and performed by, women and girls; the auditory pant, the lusting dilated eye, moist, red-lipped open mouths, wet tongue, spread legs, pinked labia, buttocks cant, etc., are all estrus “heat” signals. Some who view oral sodomy images have told me that they often become aroused to female “speaking” mouths as sexual organs, especially the child’s most, pink, plump lips. (NOTE: Estrus’ unbridled lust is NOT human menses).

*But it is “Just an Image, It’s Not Real”*

Evolutionarily, “just an image” does not negate arousal. In fact when photographs do not “stand and deliver” the provoked sexual *contact*, left to their own hand, males are hard-wired to feel betrayed even furious. People rarely understand their emotions to pornography since one feels foolish to be angry or jealous of a picture. However, males commonly respond in their bodies to photographs or drawings of estrus mating calls directed at the viewer.

Note the Japanese-American poet David Mura's award-winning essay *A Male Grief: Notes on Pornography and Addiction* (1987) in which he writes: "[H]e has sex with his wife while fantasizing of another . . . a rush of excitement does occur. But afterwards the unhappiness returns, the drug has worn off. And the addict becomes angry. . . [T]hat person has not done what he thinks that person should do—take away his unhappiness."<sup>30</sup>

Big Pornography users thus continually seek novel women, girls, or boys for the dopamine high that temporarily placates their unhappiness. Researchers worldwide show sexually explicit media and scenes of mutilation, disgust, or nature to study arousal. I am aware of no studies with proper follow-up assessing possible harm from the experiment. One German test was typical. Female students *had to* volunteer to view "pleasant," heterosexual couples in erotic (versus neutral) and unpleasant pictures from the *International Affective Pictures Series*. Brief erotic images registered "stronger posterior negativity compared to all other emotional pictures, even highly arousing aversive contents." The researchers assumed women would like "pleasant" erototoxins.<sup>31</sup> Are erotic pictures ever "just" pictures when they change the brain's architecture? "[G]ender differences. . . to sexually arousing visual stimuli" are found in brain studies. Men have greater activation than women in the amygdala, the brain region involved "in emotional arousal and in the hypothalamus *a region involved in reproductive function*."<sup>32</sup> (Emphasis added).

This is striking. If erototoxins arouse male reproductive emotions does this suggest men are hard-wired for "reproductive" coitus and not self-stimulation? What does triggering reproductive "emotions" mean for male treatment of their prostituted women and children? Emotions are passions, lust, fear, hate, etc. Does this cautious finding hint at why exploitive sex creates anger? Few sex addicts are angry at Hugh Hefner, Bob Guccione or Larry Flynt.

Consider this: all pornography is by definition "provocative" reproduction. One provokes not love but lust, anger. Saying pictorial pornography is dehumanizing is a measurable fact; it does de-humanize human females as rutting animals in heat. By not metamorphosing to copulate, female estrus images emotionally "tease," cheat, confuse, and anger viewers. Furthermore, when animals are in estrus (i.e., when they do go into heat), they commonly choose to mate one or at most several alpha males. Rutting females do not suicidally solicit a million or so penises for penetration and thus a brutal death! By conditioning indiscriminate lust to oral, anal, or vaginal penetration, erototoxins train millions to arouse to most child, adult (or even bestial) mouths, buttocks, vulvas, penises, or anything orgasmically pictured).

### 3.) Neuropsychology: Brain Functions and Erototoxic Harms

Pornography largely shuts down the brain's inhibitory transmitters. Right brain emotions like lust and fear override logical left-brain awareness, and homeostasis, that likely cause negative, even violent acts upon women and children.

The brain has both excitatory and inhibitory transmitters but inhibition is defined by experts as the hallmark of the healthy brain. Indelible libidinous images trigger excitation at the expense of inhibition. A merger of anxiety, anger, fear, shame (of impotence, etc.) with lust become emotionally mislabeled as “sexual arousal.” This merger commonly cripples true empathy and thus the capacity to love. As any public sex display puts the displayer(s) at risk, all private space sex conducted in public space becomes sadosexual stimuli. The *human Estrus myth* floods the emotional right brain, hijacks left-brain inhibiting transmitters; cognition, text, logic and the “free” speech task of thoughtful, informed consent. The Hon. Marlene Goldsmith wrote:

The visual image is processed by the right side of the brain; print [text, words] by the left. The latter is rational and analytical; the former holistic and pattern-recognizing. Left brain/right brain...questions need to be asked about the impact of the visual (whether photographic or film) image on the brain, particularly the male brain, which recent research indicates has much less connection between its left and right halves than the female brain, and therefore possibly much less opportunity for the rational left to control the impulses generated by the impressionistic right.<sup>33</sup>

Neurologist David Galin writes, “every second, 100 million messages bombard the brain carrying information from the body’s senses...which hemisphere will gain control of the shared functions and dominate overt behavior”?<sup>34</sup> Neuropsychologist A.R. Luria answers that the waking cortex obeys “a law of strength” by which each “strong (or biologically significant) stimulus evokes a strong response, while every weak stimulus evokes a weak response.”<sup>35</sup>

All four experts testifying before Senator Brownback’s hearing on “the science behind pornography addiction” identified pornography as a strong, biologically significant stimulus that evokes a strong, endogenous<sup>36</sup> poly-drug, response. Thus do all pictorial pornography “Erototoxins” invade the senses to hijack mature cognition? Moreover, when is the human brain mature? Child neurologist Jay Giedd, confirms the current scientific findings: the human brain does not reach mental, emotional, or cognitive maturity until roughly age 25.

So if a teen is doing music or sports or academics, those are the cells and connections that will be hard-wired. If they're lying on the couch or playing video games or MTV, those are the cells and connections that are going [to] survive . . . It's sort of unfair to expect [teens] to have adult levels of...decision-making before their brains are finished being built. . . The frontal lobe is often called the CEO, or the executive of the brain. It's involved in things like planning and strategizing and organizing, initiating attention and stopping and starting and shifting attention. It's a part of the brain that most separates man from beast.<sup>37</sup>

Does frontal lobe maturity separate “man from beast”? Should billions of children globally consume intense libidinous stimuli if “those are the cells and connections” that survive. In *The Primal Teen*, Barbara Strauch, *New York*

*Times* medical science editor, reports that these proofs of the vulnerability of the adolescent brain “will have huge implications for policy, for laws. It will change the whole way we think about kids. Forever.”<sup>38</sup>

#### 4) Psychopharmacology: Endogenous Drugs & Erototoxic Effects

Erototoxins release an endogenous drug cocktail in the human brain/body. Endogenous drugs are similar to exogenous street drugs like cocaine or heroin. To replicate the drug high, users must seek out progressively more vile material causing a number of negative, even violent acts upon women and children.

This fourth scientific discipline, psychopharmacology, is briefly examined to explain pornography’s global restructuring of adult and juvenile brain architecture. Arousal and climax rewards lust with an endogenous “high.” Human survival depends on two key survival skills: fear and coitus. High arousal is a survival strategy that triggers reflexive motor acts befitting the signal. Yell “fire,” for instance, and even the weak often find the strength to flee.<sup>39</sup>

Endogenous, sexually stimulated “natural” drugs obey the survivalist law of strength to get through the 100 million messages a second that bombard the brain. In fact, erotic images trigger “mirror neurons” that fire when observing “the same action performed by another (especially conspecific) animal.” Sandra Blakeslee reports in the *New York Times* that, “mirror neurons are powerfully activated by pornography.” Summarizing mirror neuron data she reports: “[if] a man watches another man have sexual intercourse with a woman, the observer’s mirror neurons spring into action.” The conclusion is that the “vicarious thrill of watching sex, it turns out, is not so vicarious after all.”<sup>40</sup>

That “vicarious thrill” that triggers one’s mirror neurons to mimic observed sex (and/or violence), naturally then triggers excitatory transmitters, a sex/drug rush (i.e., flight or fight) throughout the viewer’s organism, especially the insula, a stress hormone “high,” mislabeled as mere lust. Visual sex cues naturally flood the brain with dopamine (emotion, addiction) serotonin (anxiety, memory) testosterone, (lust, aggression) endorphins (morphine like endogenous opioids), glutamate (excitatory), oxytocin (the “bonding” hormone), phenylethylamine, (the “love drug”), glucose (learning and memory), and norepinephrine and epinephrine (adrenaline). *Public Eros* would cause a mirroring, poly-drug, insula hit.<sup>41</sup>

#### Legal “Speech” is Only the Faculty of Speaking and Writing

No carnal display is speech. Carnal images can be defended only by cognitive speech or text. As reported in *The New York Times*, Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg introduced the term “gender discrimination” as a synonym for

“sex discrimination” when her secretary was typing a brief to be submitted to male judges. “The first association of those men with the word ‘sex’ is not what you’re talking about,” . . . Justice Ginsburg recalled. “Why don’t you use a grammar-book term? Use gender. It has a neutral sound, and it will ward off distracting associations.”<sup>42</sup> The Justice agreed and censored sex.

**Figure 7. *Playboy* Eroticizes “Big Daddy” Incest November 1971: 120**



If “sex” was censored from her legal briefs, this would be due to belief that a three lettered word might arouse sexual thoughts and reduce judicial good sense. This concern is supported by studies finding that we remember arousing words better than neutral words.<sup>43</sup> What “distracting associations” were triggered by the (redacted) 1971 *Playboy* photo and words (left) urging “forceful father figures” to “come on strong, Big Daddy” to sleeping children? How did the *sex images* and words stir millions in their actions toward women and children?

Post Kinsey USA law criminalized “obscenity” while legalizing “soft” pornography—sexual pictures, cartoons, nude dancing, and stripping as “speech,” “literature,” or “expression.” However, to defend any picture (not text) lawyers must speak and write. Lawyers do not strip, pose, dance, grunt, bend over, or gesture to judges and juries in order


to prove that a picture is “speech” or even to claim it is “expression.”

This is because no image, dance, or gesture is speech, text or “literature.” An image can not be defended in a court of law just by holding up the image. Image defenses are *argued* by text and audible utterances—real speech is required to defend an arousal exhibition mislabeled as “speech.” Were pictures *speech*, lawyers would not need word (i.e., speech) to defend pictures. All sex displays systemically fail ‘The Ginsberg test.’ That is, they hijack cognition, thereby subverting the consensual, analytical task of speech—even for adult judges.

Speech is “the faculty or act of speaking... expressing or describing thoughts, feelings, or perceptions by the articulation of words.”<sup>44</sup> Samuel Johnson's 1755 dictionary defined speech as “articulate utterance” and “press” as “the instrument with which books are printed.” In 1799, the founding fathers read, wrote, and argued loudly but when Congress proposed the first twelve constitutional amendments, “images” were not given speech protections.

## Reprising the Global “White Slave Trade” in Children

Figure 8. 15 Million *Playboys* Imbed “Big Daddy” With Paid Sex, March 1972: 16



In 2007, the *Report of the American Psychological Association's Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls* concluded the media sexualizes girls and their “physical and mental health, and... sexual development.”<sup>45</sup> *Playboy's* 1971 unsubtle hostility charged roughly 15 million monthly “readers”<sup>46</sup> to be “forceful father figures.” Their child cartoon propagandists still ‘pimped’ daughters in 1972. In an upscale home like many consumers’ the naked child (redacted) says her “Teddy” wants \$40 from the stripping man (“Big Daddy”?).

The sexualization of girls in media is a decade’s long *Sex Industrial Complex* fallout. We all suffer when civil societies allow the media to sexually subvert billions of young, vulnerable brains. Millions of women and children testify to their own and others’ pornography victimization and its mirrored crimes of sexual harassment, wife and date rape/battery, incest, rape, sex trafficking, unwanted births, STDs, murder, etc. all of which unequally assault women and children.

Figure 9. *Playboy*, August 1975, a Chained “Jane” After “Forceful” Dad



For example, in August 1975, four years after their “forceful father” push and three after “Teddy” demanded \$40 for sex, *Playboy* showed the “family” how to punish a handcuffed “Jane.” When “naughty,” she must be punished” for the viewers’ “pleasure,” (redacted here).

Big Sexology, Big Porn, and Big Pharma profiteers are part of the power lobby marketing pornography as prostitution and other sex media,<sup>47</sup> although history, science and common sense prove that any sexual display violates the natural safety barriers of intimate display, redefines women as animals “in heat,” and merges lust, fear,

shame, and hostility as “sex,” creating an endogenously produced poly-drug high. This unprecedented involuntary state of viewer arousal leads to toxic psychopathic dysfunctions that have produced the skyrocketing sex-related heterosexual and homosexual fallouts discussed.

### **Increasing the Demand Side: The Sex Industrial Complex (SIC)**

Melissa Farley and her colleagues long ago documented early sexual abuse and trauma in the histories of prostituted women and children.<sup>48</sup> In “Challenging Man’s Demand for Prostitution in Scotland,” Dr. Farley noted that viewing females as “in heat” caused men to treat prostituted women as “sub-human.” The belief that women are for sex and nothing else gets transferred to other women in society. On point, Scotland launched an investigation of *Playboy’s* mainstream “fashion” marketing strategies to recruit and sexualize little girls.<sup>49</sup>

Dr. Farley quoted a man who took Viagra before visiting a brothel. He stated: “I wanted to do my football team proud.”<sup>50</sup> This segues into Big Pharma and Big Pornography backed by Big Sexology as the *Sex-Industrial Complex*. Each constituent increases the demand side of the sex trade in women and children. The black slave trade was an industry that made millionaires from the 1600s to the late 1800s. Just so, the sex trade is an industry making millionaires and thus inevitably enriching government and judicial officers beyond their wildest fantasies. Sex therapists have long testified as court experts for pornographers, while using erototoxins as well as sex surrogates (prostitutes) to stimulate their “clients.” Sexologist Leonore Tiefer enthused: “[W]e have what pharmaceutical companies need...sex researchers are eagerly sought for help in commercial ventures...Impotence drugs...a libido-enhancing drug for women could all be covered under the new Medicare prescription drug plan.”<sup>51</sup> “Our dance cards are no longer empty.”<sup>52</sup>

“Impotent” means without power. Impotence drugs and STD vaccines are a growth industry and pornography users are unwittingly made dependent, thus impotent and addicted. Pornography initially arouses but commonly requires increasingly erotic (even sadistic) images. Then, Big Pharma rides up like the Lone Ranger with Erectile Dysfunction pills in his saddlebags. By buying virility from pornographers *and* Big Pharma, millions of impotent men can orgasm alone or partnered. The more pornography is consumed the greater the need for Big Pharma’s palliatives and for prostituted victims to convince the hapless SIC captives that they still are virile, independent, men.

Backed by Big Pharma, the *Sex-Industrial Complex* is more powerful than was the Military Industrial Complex. Big Sexology sponsors Big Porno→Big Porno emasculates men→Big Pharma medicates the impotence and Big Sexology urges Big Porno therapy to keep the Merry Go Round going (note, medically-based impotence is not our concern here.) All three agents are interconnected and depend on one another for combined profits in the trillions. *The*

*Sunday Times* reports that while Viagra was supposed to aid love-making for impotent men, it is causing disastrous “social side effects, including addiction, disease and divorce,” used recreationally by young and old<sup>53</sup> and further victimizing women and children, wives and girlfriends.

### **Pedophiles Long Advocated Child STD Vaccine “Protections”**

It is telling that powerful national leaders would readily vaccinate the world’s children for STDs. Pederast advocate Professor John DeCecco, distributed “A Child’s Sexual Bill of Rights”<sup>54</sup> to his San Francisco State University “human sexuality” students. The “Bill” would *legalize sex* “with a parent, sibling or other responsible adult or child, *protected and aided with contraceptives and aids to prevent venereal disease.*” (Emphasis added).

Other pedophile groups and sexologists are similarly on record demanding that children be free to access pornography, birth control, and STD vaccinations. Such sexual prophylactics leave children vulnerable to pedophiles, as they normalize children’s “consensual” promiscuity. The government program to vaccinate immature children’s bodies/brains for STDs seems diabolical as such pedophile-friendly health policies go global. Instead of (a) mandating permanent incarceration for anyone who sexually uses a child and (b) banning all pornography and sexualized media until these are proven harmless, dangerous, even fatal, STD vaccines are forced on infants and children,<sup>55</sup> protecting predators and victimizing victims.

It would be scientifically and ethically prudent to incarcerate all adult sexual predators permanently instead of mandating universal vaccinations for all children to facilitate sex during early development, long before logical thought has matured. Uganda initiated a highly successful STD resistant mass media blitz by glamorizing and marketing pre-marital chastity and marital fidelity.<sup>56</sup>

A global cabal of closeted pornography addicts and pedophiles surely exerts power in law, medicine, science, academe,<sup>57</sup> courts, and government.<sup>58</sup> Responsible citizens should investigate if pro-pedophile pediatric leaders like the infamous Dr. John Money and his academic *Journal of Paedophilia* sect played a role in mandating the perilous sex vaccines for infants and children.

Sexologists have long served the global heterophobic underground. The California Northridge University’s Center for Sex Research hosted a “World Pornography Conference” in 1998. Led by Sex Research Director, James Ellias, Big Porn producers, performers, and lawyers gamboled with foreign Big Sexologists and American college professors like *Journal of Paedophilia (JOP)* editors Drs. Vern Bullough, John DeCecco, Daniel Tsang and Wayne Dynes.<sup>59</sup> Another Northridge presenter and *JOP* interviewee, Dr. Ralph Underwager, told his pedophile readers that, “Pedophiles can boldly and courageously affirm what they choose . . . the best way to love.”<sup>60</sup>

**Figure 10. The “Harvard” of Sexology Trains Child Pornographers**



Also speaking was Rev. Ted McIlvenna, a founder of “The Institute for the Advanced Study of Human Sexuality” (IASHS). The IASHS produced explicit child pornography (redacted at left) in *Meditations on the Gift of Sexuality*<sup>61</sup> which was then published by *Hustler* magazine for an IASHS article advocating incest and sex with children.<sup>62</sup> IASHS sexologists appear as court “sexperts” for *Playboy*, *Hustler* and suchlike claiming all pornography as harmless speech. Over 100,000 international students graduating with varied levels of IASHS human sexuality degrees are educated via classes of commercial and home made hard and “soft” pornography. Kinsey co-author/lover Wardell Pomeroy (deceased) was

the Dean of this foremost sex-ed “institute.”

*Meditations* includes godly verses gracing pages with naked photos of IASHS faculty, staff, students, friends, and their children.<sup>63</sup> None of the 180 mainstream journalists covering the Northridge University pornography conference reported that these “academicians” were funded by Big Pornography to promote child abuse, pedophilia, and human trafficking. Nor has any governmental justice, education, or health agency exposed the social contagion of “adult” pornography as colonizing the global mind and growing the sex traffic. Until this is reversed, erototoxins will deluge the globe with sexualized little girls and boys and increase the demand for forced and allegedly “voluntarily” trafficked women and children.

Mainstream pornography, produced by our wealthiest nations, creates a social contagion that dehumanizes women and children of every race, religion, and nationality. Pornography, sexually explicit media (or erototoxins) in turn create an increasingly toxic demand for sex victims. In 1807 Britain outlawed the “importation” of black slaves as did the United States in 1808.<sup>64</sup> In 1910, following a global campaign to end the transport of women and children for sexual slavery, the “White Slave Trade” was outlawed.

Since ours is arguably an irreligious era, real scientific evidence from Proxemics, Ethology, Neuropsychology and Psychopharmacology, are elicited to prove the harm of all pornography. These data establish a measurable survival-based moral reality. While the *Sex Industrial Complex* profits, men will be a) lied to by Big Sexology, b) emasculated by Big Pornography, and c) ‘saved’ by Big Pharma’s nostrums and the abuse of prostituted women and children. Only an aware public will bring down the *Playboy* flag over the US Capitol, right the treasonous wrongs of the *SIC*, and replace the pornographer’s trafficking standard with standards reflecting the flags of every nation.

Figure 3.12. Four Scientific Proofs Documenting an Erototoxic Matrix

<b>Erototoxins Hijack Cognition, Speech, Conscious Choice</b>				
<b>4 Scientific Disciplines</b>	<b>Primary Variables</b>	<b>Variable Measure</b>	<b>Variable Measure</b>	<b>OBSCENITY EFFECTS</b>
<p><b><u>PROXEMICS</u></b></p> <p>Narrowly here: The study of how organisms use space. Obscenity is at risk private space behavior in public space forums... Use of distance and space as protection for the organism.</p>	<p><b>Space/Distance</b></p> <p>Private space 0' to 6' reserved for close, intimates, v. Public Space, 25' plus, danger of strangers, unknown, vulnerable.</p>	<p><b>Private Space</b></p> <p>Home, yard, space under ones control, secure, direct eye contact, eg. two lovers, mother &amp; child, nudity OK, exposure OK, safe.</p>	<p><b>Public Space</b></p> <p>TV, film, circus, street, magazine, space not under control, unsafe, strangers, enemies, clothed, eye contact avoided, weapons.</p>	<p><b>Toxic Media</b></p> <p>Displays intimate "private" space conduct in unsafe public space, stripper, nude model, prostitute,</p>
<p><b><u>ETHOLOGY</u></b></p> <p>Narrowly here: The study of customs &amp; character, obscenity lies about female custom, character and physiology, defines female as non-human, places women, children at risk.</p>	<p><b>Estrus</b></p> <p>Nonhuman females go into "heat" with visible olfactory, other signs. Women do not go into periodic "heat", are not driven to copulate.</p>	<p><b>Primate Female</b></p> <p>Normal "heat" signals: red, swollen genitalia, buttocks mount poses, estrus odor, eye pupil dilate, sexually solicits, copulation follows.</p>	<p><b>Human Female</b></p> <p>Normal "love" signals: smiles, breast, genitalis seen only privately, skin flush or pale, seeking permanent relations.</p>	<p><b>Toxic Media</b></p> <p>Displays women and girls as primates (estrus) in nude, genital shows in public space, creates risky, disordered conduct.</p>
<p><b><u>NEUROPSYCHOLOGY</u></b></p> <p>Narrowly here: The study of the way the two hemispheres process information. Visual data processed as "real" to brain, mind, and memory.</p>	<p><b>Two Hemispheres</b></p> <p>The brain obeys a "law of strength" processing novel, anxiety provoking over "good, true, beautiful" pastoral. Pictures dominate.</p>	<p><b>Right Brain</b></p> <p>Elicits emotional response, triggers sex, fear, arousal, images not text recalled</p>	<p><b>Left Brain</b></p> <p>Elicits rational plan, cognition, abstract logic, text and speech recalled, low arousal.</p>	<p><b>Toxic Media</b></p> <p>Sadossexual stimuli, estrus, sex in public, images travel "fast" to right brain, skip left cognition, violate speech.</p>
<p><b><u>PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY</u></b></p> <p>Narrowly here: The study of excitatory &amp; inhibitory transmitters, biochemical reactions to stimuli; SEMI reaches through brain's "100 million messages a second."</p>	<p><b>Neurochemistry</b></p> <p>Obscenity, erotoviolence, triggers "flight or fight" in organism, sex/drug rush, mislabeling self-medicating "high," as sex.</p>	<p><b>"Flight or Fight"</b></p> <p>Erotic stimuli release testosterone, (brain steroid), sex/aggression endorphins (morphine like chemical), glucose...</p>	<p><b>"Flight or Fight"</b></p> <p>...oxytocin, norepinephrine (adrenaline), dopamine, serotonin, phenylethylamine, etc., emotional cocktail mix.</p>	<p><b>Toxic Media</b></p> <p>Obscene = fear, sex, shame, self induced drug rush mislabeled "sexual arousal" to women, child, triggers harassment, sex assault, rape, incest, etc... (Reisman, 1991)</p>

**NOTES**

1. Sample, Ian, "Sex objects: Pictures shift men's view of women," 16 February 2009, <http://www.guardian.co.uk/science/2009/feb/16/sex-object-photograph>, (April 1, 2009).
2. Motluk, Alison, "Mirror neurons control erection response to porn," June 16, 2008, <http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn14147-mirror-neurons-control-erection-response-to-porn.html>, (April 22, 2009).
3. Bat Ada (Reisman), Judith, "Playboy Isn't Playing," in, *Take Back the Night, Women on Pornography*, Laura Lederer, ed, Bantam, New York, 1979.
4. Playboy Enterprises, Inc., <http://www.scripophily.net/playeninc1.html>, (January 13, 2009).
5. Trento, Susan, *The Power House*, St. Martin's Press, New York, 1992, 193-200.
6. Reisman, J.A, "*Soft Porn*" Plays Hard Ball, see <http://www.drjudithreisman.com> (June5 2008).
7. Trento, *ibid.*
8. Reisman, "A Personal Odyssey," <http://www.drjudithreisman.com>, (May 1,

- 2008).
9. Reisman, <http://www.drjudithreisman.com/old/meehan.htm>, (May 19, 2007).
  10. Reisman, Judith, *Kinsey, Crimes & Consequences*, First Principles, Crestwood, 2003.
  11. For further information email [jareisman@cox.net](mailto:jareisman@cox.net).
  12. Reisman, Judith, "Implications of the Kinsey Reports," Mothers Against Sexual Abuse, <http://againstsexualabuse.org/docs/ImplicationsOfKinseyReports.asp>, (May 1, 2008).
  13. *The Lancet*, Vol. 337: March 2, 1991, 547.
  14. Kinsey, Alfred et al, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, Saunders, Philadelphia, W. B. Saunders Co., 1948: 160 and 161.
  15. BBC, "Sex Imagery Probe," [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/scotland/7824456.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/scotland/7824456.stm), (January 13, 2009).
  16. "Subcommittee on Science, Technology," <http://www.obscuritycrimes.org/Senate-Reisman-Layden-Etc.pdf> (May 1, 2008).
  17. "Porn panic over eroto-toxins," *New Scientist*, <http://www.newscientist.com/article.ns?id=mg18424750.800> (November 2004).
  18. USA Committee on Commerce, Science & Transportation, <http://commerce.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Hearings> (Jan. 3, 2008).
  19. Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul\\_Bernardo](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Bernardo). [http://www.drjudithreisman.com/about\\_dr\\_reisman.html#journey](http://www.drjudithreisman.com/about_dr_reisman.html#journey), April 1, 2009.
  20. Mich Kat, "Fact Summary," <http://banthat.com/banned/834>, (May 1, 2009).
  21. Reisman, <http://www.drjudithreisman.com/archives/pharma.doc>, CAN3.doc.
  22. Late 1970s stripper interviews confirmed by a stripper in Jenna Jameson's Arizona strip club, 2005.
  23. deMause, Lloyd, see <http://www.psychohistory.com/htm/bio.html>, (May 4, 2008).
  24. Hamann, Stephen, "Sex Differences in the Responses of the Human Amygdala," *The Neuroscientist*, Volume 11, Number 4, 2005, 289.
  25. Ibid, brain image from "Digital Anatomist Project," Department of Biological Structure, University of Washington.
  26. Post Traumatic Stress *versus* Disorder PTS as a sane trauma response.
  27. Dworkin, Andrea and Katherine MacKinnon, 1988, "A New Day," <http://www.nostatusquo.com/ACLU/dworkin/other/ordinance/newday/T2c.htm>, (May 8, 2009).
  28. Goldsmith, Marlene, "Sexual offenders and pornography: a causal connection?" 27-29 October 1992 Canberra, 1993, [http://www.edwardtbabinski.us/male\\_brain/goldsmith.html](http://www.edwardtbabinski.us/male_brain/goldsmith.html), (April 20, 2006).
  29. Zillmann, Dolf and Jennings Bryant, *Pornography: Research Advances and Policy Considerations*, Lawrence Erlbaum, 1989, 104, Australian Senate 6, April 6, 1989, 1134.
  30. Mura, David, *A Male Grief: Notes on Pornography and Addiction*, Milkweed Editions: Minneapolis, 1987, 3.
  31. HT Schupp et al, "The selective processing of briefly presented affective pictures" 2004, May 41, 441-9, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15102130>, May 1, 2009.
  32. Canli, Turhan and John D E Gabrieli, "Imaging gender differences in sexual arousal," *Nature Neuroscience*, Vol 7, No, 4, April 2004, 325-326.

- 
33. The Hon. Marlene Goldsmith, *ibid*.
  34. Pinchot, Roy *The Human Body: The Brain*. Torstar, New York, 1984, 122-123.
  35. Goleman, Daniel and Richard Davidson, Ed, *Consciousness: Brain, States of Awareness, and Mysticism*, New York, Harper & Row, 1979. Galin 22, A.R. Lauria, 10.
  36. Endogenous drugs are naturally produced, mimicked by exogenous street drugs.
  37. Giedd, Jay, *Time*, May 10, 2003, <http://www.time.com/time/covers/101040510/#>, (June 27, 2008).
  38. Strauch, Barbara, *The Primal Teen*, Random House, New York, 2003, 86.
  39. Keil, Andreas, et al., *Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2003, 195-206.
  40. Blakeslee, Sandra, "Cells That Read Minds," *New York Times*, January 10, 2006.
  41. Iacononi, Marco and Mirella Dapretto, "The mirror neuron system and the consequences of its dysfunction," *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 7, 942-951, December 2006. [www.nature.com/.../n12/fig\\_tab/nrn2024\\_F1.html](http://www.nature.com/.../n12/fig_tab/nrn2024_F1.html) (September 2, 2008).
  42. Liptak, Adam, *The New York Times* "Ginsburg Shares Views" April 11, 2009, <http://www.nytimes.com/2009/04/12/us/12ginsburg.html?ref=washington>, (May 2, 2009).
  43. Hamann, Stephan, "Sex Differences in the Responses of the Human Amygdala," *The Neuroscientist*, 2005, 288-293.
  44. Speech, *The American Heritage Dictionary*, Fourth Edition, August 23, 2008.
  45. APA Press Release, Feb. 19, 2007, <http://www.apa.org/releases/sexualization.html>, (August 26, 2008).
  46. Reisman, J.A. *Images of Children, Crime & Violence in Playboy, Penthouse, and Hustler*, US Dpt of Justice, Juvenile Justice, (OJJDP) Grant # 84-JN-AX-K007, 1989.
  47. See Reisman, Kinsey, *Crimes & Consequences* for documentation of the role of the Rockefeller Foundation in promoting Kinsey's sexual revolution.
  48. Farley, Melissa, et al., Prostitution, "Violence Against Women and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder," *Women & Health*, Haworth Press, Inc., 27 (3): 37-49, 1998
  49. BBC, "Sex Imagery Probe."
  50. Brown, Annie, "Sex industry in Scotland: Inside the deluded minds of the punters," *DailyRecord.co.uk.*, April 28 2008.
  51. Pugh, Tony, "Medicare prescription drug plan would cover impotence drugs," *Knight Ridder*, October 12, 2004.
  52. "Response To Sexology And The Pharmaceutical Industry: The Threat Of Co-Optation," *The Journal of Sex Research*, vol. 37, 273, comments by Tiefer, May, 2001.
  53. Author interviews with medical doctors confirm *The Sunday Times*, July 15, 2007.
  54. "The Child's Sexual Bill of Rights," Jan. 1977, POB 5164, San Diego, Ca, 92105.
  55. Tenpenny, Sherri, Dr., see <http://drtenpenny.com/default.aspx>, (April 25, 2009).
  56. Peterson, Anne, Dr., USAID Assistant Administrator for Global Health, before the Subcommittee on African Affairs Committee on Foreign Relations, May 19, 2003.
  57. Langborgh, Eric, "X-Rated Academia," *Accuracy in Academia*, <http://www.academia.org/index.html>, April 18, 2009.
  58. Reisman, J.A., *Kinsey, Crimes & Consequences*, Introduction and law chapter.
  59. *Paidika*, *The Journal of Paedophilia* seeks respect for "pedophile sensibilities," legalization of sex with child, praising its Editors as "paedophiles." Summer 1987, 1-3.
  60. Ungerwager, Ralph, *The Journal of Paedophilia*, Winter 1993, :2-12.
  61. *Meditations on the Gift of Sexuality*, Institute for the Advanced Study of Human

Sexuality" (IASHS), 1977: 61.

62. IASHS trains worldwide sex educators and designs global sex education curricula. [http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE\\_ID=16072](http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=16072), (April 1, 2009).

63. Reisman, "Kinsey's Paedophiles," Yorkshire Television, UK, Channel 4,

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=2061305218446628970&hl=en>, (May 1, 2005).

64. Nancy C. Curtis, "Black Heritage Sites: An African American Odyssey," ALA Editions, 1996, 398.