

"Kinsey, Sex and Fraud"

PHIL DONAHUE: Well, don't look now, but they're attacking Dr. Kinsey again. The book is titled *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud: The Indoctrination of a People*. Here steps forward at least two people who claim that our whole sexual revolution and our ideas about sex, our attitudes towards sex are based on a man whose own methodology was flawed.

Judith Reisman, Ph.D, it says here. You're the author of this report. In a nutshell, what bothers you about Dr. Kinsey's research?

JUDITH REISMAN, Ph.D., "Kinsey, Sex and Fraud":

In a nutshell, it's fundamentally all the information on children is based on - the sexual behavior of children - is based on the sexual abuse of 317 infants and children from two months of age to 14 years of age, the majority of them, in fact, under 10 years old.

DONAHUE: Yeah, but you can't prove that, Dr. Reisman. And those who preside at the Kinsey Institute today are appalled at the some might say libelous charges that you make, not only about the work done by the late Dr. Kinsey, but also by some who follow him. We should also tell you that Indiana University, a very proud, conservative institution of higher learning in America, stands by this institute and most of the people who survive today who worked with Dr. Kinsey identify him as an honorable man who abused nobody, touched nobody and not surprisingly was the subject of controversy on the occasion of the publication of his book on men in 1948 and women in 1953.

You can't prove that he abused children.

Ms. REISMAN: I think that the book establishes that there was abuse that took place without any question. He admitted that in the book and if, in fact, it wasn't true

DONAHUE: Want did he say that admitted that?

Ms. REISMAN: Oh, boy, do I wish- he said- he described nine technically trained men - he called them "technically trained men" - who he said were sexually- he said they were- they had had sexual relationships with young boys and that they were the source of all of his data. He described screaming and fainting and convulsions. He described children who were striking what he called "the partner." That was those- that was the man who was abusing the children. And then he drew from that conclusion himself, Phil.

DONAHUE: He did?

Ms. REISMAN: He drew from that conclusion himself that the children enjoyed the experience. That's blaming the victim. You know what that is in these days. DONAHUE: This audience- you are entitled to have me identify my own bias here, right at the beginning.

Ms. REISMAN: OK.

DONAHUE: I admire what the sex- what the Kinsey Institute does.

Ms. REISMAN: I know.

DONAHUE: I am personally familiar with its director

and have worked with her and she has made a very important contribution to a series that I was proud to have my name on in 1986.

You were on the Meese Commission on Pornography.

Ms. REISMAN: No. Sorry, Phil. That is as accurate as their information is. I was not on the Meese Commission on Pornography and if their research is as accurate as that, then you have some idea of where I'm coming from and the fact that we can prove what we have to say.

PHILIP NOBILE, Writer, "Village Voice": I wrote a book on the Meese Commission, Phil.

DONAHUE: Philip Nobile, you're a writer for the *Village Voice* and you're a former *Penthouse* editor. Let me stand back from you! What accounts for my rare error here in suggesting that she was a member of the Meese Commission?

Mr. NOBILE: Judith Reisman testified before the Meese Commission, but like everybody else who has heard and evaluated her work, it was rejected. The Meese Commission refused to cite Judith Reisman in its report. In fact

Ms. REISMAN: As wrong as what you just said. It is cited in the report. I mean, you know, people say things without any validity and then say we don't have validity. DONAHUE: Dr. Reisman, do you think homosexuality is an aberration?

Ms. REISMAN: I think that bisexuality is an aberration and I think that- what do you mean by "an aberration"?

DONAHUE: Abnormal.

Ms. REISMAN: Are you saying-

DONAHUE: I'd like to try and just

Ms. REISMAN: All right.

DONAHUE: Tell me your feeling about-

Ms. REISMAN: My feeling about homosexuality has very little to do with what I've got to say about children

DONAHUE: But you do-

Ms. REISMAN: -although they attempt to shift it over there. Let's get back to what we're talking about, what I'm talking about. Wait a minute, Phil. I've been a child advocate all my life and we are talking about children and we are talking about what was done to these children. The homosexuality issue is another issue which I'd be delighted to get to as we move along, but-

DONAHUE: Right. But I do think-

Ms. REISMAN: -let me tell you-

DONAHUE: -it's important, at least to establish. The suggestion is that you do have a bias, Dr. Reisman.

Ms. REISMAN: A bias? How do you mean "a bias"?

DONAHUE: That you would- you think homosexuality is somehow subnormal.

Ms. REISMAN: I'm saying that homosexuality does not appear to be, as far as I know, by any means as healthfully productive for human beings as heterosexual experience is, which involves two human beings-- and by the way, what do you mean by "homosexuality"? Are you talking about male homosexuality or are you talking about female homosexuality, because we're talking here about two different kinds of things?

DONAHUE: So it's an unhealthy psychosexual state?

Ms. REISMAN: Look, it's not- I'm not the expert on

homosexuality ..

DONAHUE; *But you-*

Ms. REISMAN: I'm the expert on-

DONAHUE; -bespeak a bias about it-

Ms. REISMAN: Just a minute. Just a minute!

DONAHUE: -and *you* are presiding over a book that embarrasses the--

Ms. REISMAN: Yeah, but Phil, why are you looking at the homosexual- just a minute! Why not address what the real issue is?

DONAHUE: What's the real issue?

Ms. REISMAN: The real issue is that we are saying that the Kinsey Institute was involved in the actual- that Kinsey was involved in the actual use of nine sexual offenders - rapists, child rapists - who abused children

DONAHUE: I think they interviewed- Kinsey interviewed people in prison.

Ms. REISMAN: He interviewed people but

DONAHUE: He interviewed his adult people in prison.

Ms. REISMAN: But those were not the men who did those things to those--

DONAHUE: OK.

Ms. REISMAN: -children.

DONAHUE: Very good.

Ms. REISMAN: You're talking about 800- you're talking about one man that they said abused kids. Come on, this is not- it's like going to a rapist and asking the rapist "How did the rape victim enjoy the rape?"

DONAHUE: It's like going to a rapist and interviewing him for the important work of determining what kind of profile is guilty of this kind of horrendous behavior-

Ms. Reisman: But that's not what he did!

DONAHUE: -so that we might avoid it in the future.

Ms. REISMAN: But Phil, that's not what he did! DONAHUE:

Edward Eichel wants to say what, sir? You're a sex researcher and you're co-author of this book, *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud*. You feel that today's sex research has a gay bias, is that so?

EDWARD EICHEL, "Kinsey, Sex and Fraud": Well, I think you're sort of jumping on Judith for my part of the book and I'd have to pose a different kind of question. Do you think heterosexuality is abnormal? For instance, is it just the inhibition of society that causes people to be exclusively heterosexual? And I am saying-

DONAHUE: Or people who are not exclusively heterosexual.

We have a global population of people who are various--

Mr. EICHEL: I'm saying that a great majority of the population is, although Kinsey's data led people to believe otherwise, and that there is nothing wrong with heterosexuality, even though Dr. Rheinisch [sp?] of the Kinsey Institute's mentor, Dr. John Money, has termed "obligative heterosexuality," a term to suggest that heterosexuals are pathologic.

Mr. NOBILE: That's a lie.

Mr. EICHEL: She considers him a mentor.

Mr. NOBILE: Ed? Ed? Phil-

Mr. EICHEL: That is June Rheinisch's mentor, according to her in her book, *The New Kinsey Report*.

DONAHUE: Well, poor Dr. Kinsey, here we are 50 years-" later arguing about this guy. "What did you want to say?

Mr. NOBILE: I wanted to say that *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud*, as Mary McCarthy said of Lillian Hellman's work,,_ is a lie. Every word in this book is a lie--

Ms. REISMAN: Then sue us.

Mr. NOBILE: --even the "the's" and the "and's." In fact, Judith Reisman has spent 12 years investigating Kinsey, trying to show that he molested children. I read her book. I wrote an article about it.

Ms. REISMAN: In *Penthouse*.

Mr. NOBILE: And you know who her sources are, Phil? Kinsey himself and his biographer Waddell Pomeroy [sp?]. In 12 years of investigation, she's found only two people who support her contention and they turned out to be Kinsey himself. Why? Because he admitted he did take evidence from men who had sex with boys. That is no crime and she smears Kinsey with that.

DONAHUE: Yeah. Guess who's here? C.A. Tripp, Ph.D.- well, all you did was work with Dr. Kinsey, do I understand that?

CA. TRIPP, Ph.D., Photographed Masturbation and Ejaculation for Dr. Alfred Kinsey: Yes, for many years.

DONAHUE: You knew the man personally.

Mr. TRIPP: Yes.

DONAHUE: Did you call him "Al"?

Mr. TRIPP: No, I called him "Prok [sp?]," as most of his friends did, short for "Professor Kinsey."

DONAHUE: We should say that you are the author of *The Homosexual Matrix-*

Mr. TRIPP: That's right.

DONAHUE: -a book which got quite a positive response from-

Mr. TRIPP: Yes, and I want to agree with Reisman on one point. I think we really ought to talk about the child stuff-

Ms. REISMAN: Thank you.

Mr. TRIPP: -before we mix in-

DONAHUE: Right. Well, make your point, please.

Mr. TRIPP:-the homosexual stuff. You know, we really shouldn't go too fast, there. We want to savor that, because it is- it is wonderful. It's so delicious, what she says, because she says that Kinsey molested these children.

Ms. REISMAN: No, I didn't.

Mr. TRIPP: And-

Ms. REISMAN: No, I didn't.

Mr. TRIPP: Take a look- I want to draw you a picture of the image. The image is that world-famous sex researchers - or Dr. Kinsey alone, it's not quite clear - are in a room and they hover over a young child, less than a year old, and with their fingers or a feather - and that's not quite clear - they tickle the genitalia and bring this kid to orgasm-

Ms. REISMAN: Did I say that?

Mr. TRIPP: -who is screaming and hollering and possible held down by chains.

Mr. NOBILE: And drugged.

Mr. TRIPP: It's all too glorious.

<DON.AHUE: Well, make your *point*. You know, I hope - : . that you don't- are you- you're being sarcastic, but I want to make sure-

Mr. TRIPP: No, I didn't mean to be sarcastic. DONAHUE: You're saying this isn't true, that Dr. Kinsey or his colleagues did not-

Mr. TRIPP: If he'd done any of that, I would be the first to know because I did the photography in those days, I did the-

Ms. REISMAN: Didn't Dellenbach [sp?] do photography? Mr. TRIPP: Not till later.

Ms. REISMAN: Oh!

Mr. TRIPP: Dellenbach was my partner.

DONAHUE: Right.

Mr. TRIPP: And I did the photography. I was called in on that. I was called in specifically on the items that-

Ms. REISMAN: Excuse me, did you do the photography? Mr. TRIPP: -she mentions.

Ms. REISMAN: -on the two- on the sadist and the masochist that were at Indiana University-

Mr. TRIPP: Yes, those were-

Ms. REISMAN: -where they were dropping the hot wax on the body parts?

Mr. TRIPP: But let's stay with your favorites.

Ms. REISMAN: You did that? You did that? OK! Thank you, Dr. Tripp!

Ms. REISMAN: You notice here the attempt to divert because that's an extremely embarrassing situation, to be held responsible for what you said in print.

DONAHUE: Right. May I ask you to sum up your grievances, please, as a former personal and professional colleague of Dr. Kinsey? What is going on here?

Mr. TRIPP: Not a single thing. Neither *Kinsey* nor his staff members ever had any erotic contact or any masturbatory contact with any subject whatsoever, from start to finish.

DONAHUE: Yes. And how do you explain that this woman would step forward, Ph.D. and all, and 50 years after the fact, write a book published by a small Louisiana publishing house-

Mr. TRIPP: Absolutely astounding.

DONAHUE: -which does not make it wrong-

Ms. REISMAN: Good old America. We got it out. DONAHUE: - *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud*. What's going on here? Let me just put it more specifically. Not a few folks see this as another attempt by the religious fundamental right to discredit the man who tried to suggest to us, among other things, what is now accepted, I think, by mainstream America of all religious and political persuasions, and that is that sexuality is an entitlement, that it is perfectly natural, that the onset of eroticism as it happens in adolescent males and females is important to understand and welcome and receive without judgment and "Don'ts" and "Naughty's" and finger-shaking. And in that sense, he made a tremendous contribution.

Mr. TRIPP: One of her complaints is that he started the sexual revolution which has dissolved our morality. Do I speak correctly?

Ms. REISMAN: Now, look, did I just watch your program

the other day when we were reading about- when we seeing about date rape and what's happening on our campuses?

DONAHUE: And you see Kinsey, do you, here?

Ms. REISMAN: Now, just a minute. Will you tell me, where's one table in Kinsey's books - though he had 1,400 sex offenders - where's one table that shows anybody who was raped in 1940 and raped in 1953? No, we have no rape. We have rapists, but we have no rape. We have children screaming and crying and having convulsions. Phil, let me ask you, would you say that when you read about children striking a "partner," striking a partner to get away, would you say that that sounds like someone who cares about those children? And would you say that we're going to care and we're going to say that- and, by the way, I just found out that you're the guy that did that photography. It wasn't in the book. It wasn't anywhere. Now we know who did it.

Mr. TRIPP: Let's stay with the children. I love that part. Ms. REISMAN: All right, we'll stay with the children. [crosstalk]

DONAHUE: Does anybody have a question?

Ms. REISMAN: Phil, you have some tables, don't you? You have some tables that-

Mr. NOBILE: Phil, this is part of-

Ms. REISMAN: -show 24 hours around the clock.

Mr. NOBILE: This is part of the smear. Judith Reisman has found nothing that every scholar who read the Kinsey Reports for the last 50 years hasn't read.

Ms. REISMAN: *Penthouse Forum*.

Mr. NOBILE: It is because of her this

Ms. REISMAN. *Penthouse Forum!*

Mr. NOBILE: It is because of her mind. It is because of her mindset.

Mr. EICHEL: Can we see the tables, Phil? Could we see the tables?

Ms. REISMAN: Can we see the tables? *Penthouse Forum*- he writes about "positive incest." Anybody, as far as I'm concerned, who's writing about "positive incest" and defending the Kinsey Institute because the Kinsey Institute won't come here to defend them, though they got me off radio and they tried to get me off all kinds of other shows-

DONAHUE: Yeah.

Ms. REISMAN: -they send him.

DONAHUE: They did?

RICHARD E. VATZ, PH.D., kindly keep your answers a little shorter, if you will, please.

RICHARD E. VATZ, Ph.D., Professor, Towson State University: I'm here to represent the non-lurid interest group, Phil.

DONAHUE: You are, among other things, associate psychology editor for *USA Today* magazine?

Mr. VATZ: The magazine, not the newspaper. It's an educational magazine. Right. One of the interesting things here, although I cannot adjudicate the factual disputes between the two sides on this, is that I think the Kinsey Institute opens itself to these kinds of attacks because it makes such a strong claim to being scientific. The survey

they just came out with, for example, claims to be a scientific measure of the basic sexual knowledge of the American people. Now, there are some questions on there that lend themselves to some kind of scientific verification, but a tremendous amount of what they do-- and by the way, this criticism was also made in the '48 and '53 studies, but it's also made now. A tremendous amount of what they do is simply not scientific. It doesn't deal with biological processes. It deals with behavior. -

DONAHUE: So what?

Mr. VATZ: Well-

DONAHUE: I mean, you know, 1- my sense is that we get into these arguments about semantics because we're made uncomfortable by the subject of the enquiry.

Mr. VATZ: Well, but let's see if it is semantic. Let's see if there are consequences to the words we use. When you call

something "scientific," you are claiming that there's a certainty to it. There is also a claim that you should have a silence,

because people should bend to what science says. DONAHUE: I don't think that Kinsey ever claimed that we should be silent.

Mr. VATZ: In fact-

DONAHUE: But there's more than- this has to do with a criticism- this has to do with an accusation of criminal behavior,

Ms. REISMAN: Right.

DONAHUE: -which is not provable, which-

Mr. VATZ: I'm saying that to the extent to which - and again, this is true throughout the Kinsey Institute work to the extent to which they claim that their work is uniformly scientific is a claim that it is not open to reasonable criticism, **Kinsey himself was very intolerant of any kind of criticism.** It's- I think Dr.

Rheinisch is very closed to-

Mr. TRIPP: I think you're very wrong.

DONAHUE: I kind of see him as a blood brother, in that sense, myself.

Mr. VATZ: As a matter of fact, his biographer, recommended by the Kinsey Institute book, indicated he was intolerant of criticism.

DONAHUE: Are you there, caller? I'm glad you waited.

1st CALLER: Yes.

DONAHUE: Good.

1st CALLER: I have two children in that age group that you're talking about and I just want to know, why is it so important that we find out the sexuality of children at that age?

DONAHUE: Because sexuality begins in utero.

Ms. REISMAN: That is what Calderon [sp?] says. What do we need? Everything begins in utero. Reproduction begins in utero, for crying out loud. What does that mean?

DONAHUE: You don't want us to study that, then?

Ms. REISMAN: Sexuality in utero?

DONAHUE: Uh-huh.

DONAHUE: Tell, me what you're laughing-

Ms. REISMAN: How are we going to study sexuality in utero?

DONAHUE: Well, first of all, you can see the erection.

That's what they tell me.

Ms. REISMAN: What do we mean by an erection? I've

seen what they call an erection. That depends on your perspective.

DONAHUE: But my sense is-

Ms. REISMAN: What the devil is an erection?

1st AUDIENCE MEMBER: I have questions- I don't see what the point she's trying to make is.

Ms. REISMAN: You see what you want to see.

1st AUDIENCE MEMBER: I understand that she's questioning the methodology, but I don't see the point.

DONAHUE: Kinsey was to sexuality what Freud was to psychiatry, what Madame Curie was to radiation, what Einstein was to physics. Comes along this woman with a book saying, "Holy cow! E doesn't equal MC squared. We've based an entire generation of education of sexologists on Kinsey and Kinsey was a dirty old man."

And he wasn't! He wasn't! The guy- first of all, the guy was married once, nuclear family kind of guy. Comes along his pal to say, "Hey, nobody ever touched anybody. Nobody ever"- and when he first came out with how long the erection is, how long the sperm for the ejaculation, people went nuts. And he talked about masturbation- it was 1953. Holy cow, I remember it. I was embarrassed!

Ms. REISMAN: He collected sperm, for crying out loud! Who collects sperm from kids? From kids!

1st AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do you disagree with the results-

Ms. REISMAN: Who collects sperm from kids?

Mr. TRIPP: Kids don't have sperm-

Ms. REISMAN: Ah, that's a very good question!

Mr. TRIPP: -so let's get that straight.

Ms. REISMAN: Kids don't have sperm. So what was he collecting? And, how was he doing it? And what did he do with it once he got it?

Mr. NOBILE: Judith, you studied it.

Ms. REISMAN: And that was in Waddell Pomeroy's book. Mr.

NOBILE: Judy, you studied Kinsey for 12 years, yet you-

Ms. REISMAN: Why don't you care more about the children than you do about protecting the finances of the sex industry and the sex establishment?

Mr. NOBILE: Judith-

DONAHUE: Right. The "sex industry." Tell me about this sex industry.

Mr. NOBILE: May I answer her?

DONAHUE: Is there- just let me- is there any kind of sexual-sex research that you could- that you would approve of?

Ms. REISMAN: We have to learn about the way people feel and the way people are, Phil, but we cannot separate sexuality

out from the rest of what we are. If we studied like psychologists had attempted to do before- if we study who we

are, sexuality's only a part of who we are. It's a part of our community. It's a part of the way we interact. DONAHUE:

And you, I think, also--

Ms. REISMAN: When you isolate- oh, excuse me! I'm sorry.

DONAHUE: You also believe that the gay population makes up only 2 or 3 percent of the human population.

Ms. REISMAN: The date that's coming out not only from

England but from the National Opinion Research Council, which doesn't have any connection to the sex industry and, 'as I understand it, is not being given any money by questionable individuals, has identified roughly- I'm sorry. They identified less. They said about 1 percent of the population is homosexual. DONAHUE: One percent?

Ms. REISMAN: That's- yeah. That's not my fault! I'm not the people who do the research and-

DONAHUE: So you believe 1 percent of the human population is gay?

Ms. REISMAN: Do I believe it? It sounds reasonable. It doesn't sound unreasonable. Let me ask you this, Phil.

DONAHUE: What?

Ms. REISMAN: Do you think that 10 percent is homosexual? And what do you mean, again, by "gay"? When you look at the way he defined homosexual, it's not the same as what it sounds like.

2nd AUDIENCE MEMBER: I have a comment. I think there's about 10 percent of the population that's gay. And also I'd like to know where you're getting all your information from?

Ms. REISMAN: Pardon? I didn't hear. I'm sorry. DONAHUE: Well, Kinsey's got a profile on you, too, Dr. Reisman.

Mr. VATZ: Phil, could I make a point here? Over and over again- over and over again, the Kinsey Institute and people like Masters and Johnson claim to be disinterested scientists. And whether or not these statistics can again be finalized, it was perceived in 1948 and it's perceived now that the writings are very antagonistic toward those who have prejudices against certain sexual behavior, that the writings are antagonistic toward those who believe that there should be conservatism in sexual behavior. Now, those may be good values. They may be bad values. But that is not the role of a disinterested observer or researcher. That's one of the problems.

DONAHUE: OK. Guess who thinks this is a wonderful book? Patrick Buchanan.

Ms. REISMAN: Oh, well, but he's anti-Semitic and I'm Jewish.

DONAHUE: I didn't say this! Don't get me in another libel suit, now. This is a- herein contained is a conservative political agenda, Dr. Reisman-

Ms. REISMAN: A conservative political agenda for children-

DONAHUE: -that makes smears and accusations against the work of a man who is acknowledged to be a giant of 20th-century science.

Ms. REISMAN: Phil, if that's true-

DONAHUE: He took a lot of risks, no doubt about thatMs.

REISMAN: Oh, yeah!

DONAHUE: -as everybody- I'm talking about in terms of reputation and misunderstand and all- 1940s, nobody was talking about this.

Ms. REISMAN: That's right.

DONAHUE: And he made an enormous contribution to the understanding of who we are.

Ms. REISMAN: What kind of a contribution? I repeat, if

I'm wrong- if we're wrong, Phil, why don't they sue me? So sue us. Sue me. They threatened.

Mr. TRIPP: You aren't worth suing.

Ms. REISMAN: Oh, yeah, sure! I'm on national television on Phil Donahue!

Mr. TRIPP: They don't bother with small potatoes. Ms.

REISMAN: If they could win it, they'd do it.

DONAHUE: And we'll be-

Ms. REISMAN: What did Walter Stewart [sp?] say? He also backed that up. And who's Walter Stewart? Read that!

DONAHUE: He's a research scientist for the National Institutes of Health.

Ms. REISMAN: Yes, and he's been involved in fraud in science and he is a highly reputable individual. He read this material and said, "This is critical material for everyone to read." I don't want anyone to believe us, Phil, not anyone. I want everyone to read it for themselves, go out and look in their libraries, check the Kinsey Institute there and then decide.

DONAHUE: Right. When can we begin to study sexuality, in your opinion?

Ms. REISMAN: What do you mean by "we"? Who, Phil? Who?

DONAHUE: The research community. The professional community. Is it a good idea to know who we are?

Ms. REISMAN: What professional community?

DONAHUE: Is it a good idea to know who we are?

Ms. REISMAN: Psychiatrists, psychologists, yes, to investigate is part of the way in which they do things, not to separate it out as if it was an atomistic piece.

DONAHUE:: In other words, don't-

Ms. REISMAN: Our genitals are not-

DONAHUE: -measure erections and time of the erection and all that stuff?

Ms. REISMAN: Our genitals are not removed from our brains, our hearts, our souls. That's what's gotten us in the problems we're in, in the first place!

DONAHUE: Right. [audience applause] You like turns! You're tired of all this clinical stuff! And you don't want to know how old a man is and what's the normal response of a man who's 42, 48, 56?

Ms. REISMAN: No, they want him to relax and enjoy himself.

DONAHUE: Oh, 48 you want to know? I'll tell you what, after the show [sic]. Boy, have we got charts and graphs for you. You can take them home later and show them to your husband. We're with the people who have deeply disturbed those who preside over the Kinsey Institute today and those who step forward to say before you swallow this whole, we should know with whom we're speaking. And not a few people who support the Kinsey Institute have questioned your credentials.

Ms. REISMAN: Of course they do. I would if I had the investment they do.

Mr. NOBILE: Judith Reisman, Phil, happens to be the most repudiated Ph.D. in America.

Ms. REISMAN: Talk about credentials!

Mr. NOBILE: First of all, Phil, her work has been re-

jected by the Justice Department that ate their \$800,000 grant.

Ms. REISMAN: So you're supporting Meese now?

Mr. NOBILE: Secondly-

Ms. REISMAN: You're being the spokesman for Meese now, Ed Meese?

Mr. NOBILE: Secondly, the American- please, Judith. The American University also rejected her study. The Meese Commission refused to Cite it and-

DONAHUE: I don't think you've ever been published, have you, in a scientific journal?

Mr. NOBILE: Never.

Ms. REISMAN: Yes, I certainly Mr.

NOBILE: Name it.

Ms. REISMAN: Oh, "never"? How about *Ethology and Sociobiology*? Is that scientific enough for you? DONAHUE:

But did you not spend most- a good deal of time writing music for Captain Kangaroo?

Ms. REISMAN: Oh, my God!

DONAHUE: That doesn't make you a bad person, huh? Ms.

REISMAN: I wrote for Captain Kangaroo. I wrote for children for years. This is part of what I've been doing for years. I've been concerned about children for years, from music to professionalism and-

DONAHUE: And you're proud of it.

Ms. REISMAN: -and they are anti-child! Yes!

DONAHUE: And we'll be back in just a moment.

[Commercial break]

3rd AUDIENCE MEMBER: I just have a comment. I would like to know if this man from *Penthouse* would like to write a book on abstinence before marriage. I think that would sold a lot of problems.

DONAHUE: Accidents before marriage?

3rd AUDIENCE MEMBER: Abstinence before marriage. Mr.

NOBILE: Actually, Judith Reisman's publisher, Huntington [sp?] House has done such a book. You should know the company she keeps. It's called *A Reasonable - get this, Phil, - A Reasonable Reason to Wait: A Sexual Guide for Those Who Haven't Been Sexually Involved-*

Ms. REISMAN: You know-

Mr. NOBILE: *-and Healing for Those Who Have.* That's a book. That's a book we really need.

Ms. REISMAN: You know, Phil, I've got to tell you something. When I started this research on Kinsey, I was told there was no way I would get this thing published. There's no way the public would ever get to read what we had found out. Now, I want to tell you something. Only in America. You're supporting Kinsey. You have folks have been involved in-

DONAHUE: Yeah?
Ms. REISMAN: OK? All right. Here we are, right? Three cheers, because I've got to tell you something. If a small publisher back in the back hills of Louisiana

DONAHUE: . Hurray for him.

Ms. REISMAN: -is willing-

DONAHUE: I agree.

Ms. REISMAN: -to take that risk with the big boys-

DONAHUE: I agree.

Ms. REISMAN: -then we ought to give him an applause.

DONAHUE: So the day may come when the only. person interested in me will be a very small publisher, if any publisher at all, so I bring no prejudice there.

Mr. EICHEL: Phil, I just wonder why there's so much attention to the sexuality, the little erection of a fetus, when in fact, the most advanced kind of research on male-female adult compatibility is being suppressed, while pedophiles are put on program committees of the Society for the Scientific Study of Sex, and that happens.

DONAHUE: You're going beyond the arena of our discussion, here, and I hope you don't mean to suggest that the Kinsey Institute is responsible for whatever your unproved allegation may be. Let's keep this at home here.

Mr. VATZ: Could I ask these people one question? Do you agree that a great deal of what passes for research in sex has a social agenda? Do you agree, for example, that when Masters and Johnson publish a book called *Crisis*, in which they claim - and this is a quote - that "AIDS is rampant in the heterosexual community," do you agree that that bespeaks a certain social agenda on the part of people who claim to be disinterested researchers?

DONAHUE: Why?

Mr. VATZ: Well, because-

DONAHUE: Why? If you say that Tay-Sachs strikes Jews and other people who are born and raised in a certain global geographic area, does that bespeak a political agenda?

Mr. VATZ: Well, no, it doesn't, because in this case, with Masters and Johnson and the book *Crisis*, it has been disavowed by every serious scientific observer in the country practically.

Mr. NOBILE: As has Judith's v:ark, and hers will be withdraw as Masters and Johnson's was.

Mr. VATZ: Does the Kinsey Institute disavow Masters and Johnson's work called *Crisis*?

Mr. NOBILE: Yeah, everybody has.

Mr. VATZ: Does the Kinsey Institute-

DONAHUE: We've got some men who want to ask a question!

Yeah?

4th AUDIENCE MEMBER: I don't mean any disrespect to your panel here, but how come these so-called "sex experts" are always people who look like they never get it on?
DONAHUE: Well, how proud your parents must be of you.

Mr. EICHEL: Phil, when I was coming to this program this morning, I bought four copies of the *Village Voice* because there was an article about our book. And the man who sold the magazines said to me when we discussed the fact that- about our sex- facts about our sex research, he said, "Hey, you know," he said, "my girlfriend always says, 'How can sex be good unless it's dirty?'" There's your Kinsey mentality.

DONAHUE: Oh, boy, we ought to lock that kid in the basement.

Mr. NOBILE: Kinsey would say "It's only good when it's dirty. "

DONAHUE: The Kinsey Institute-

Ms. REISMAN: Yeah, Kinsey would say, "It's only good

when t's dirty," says the representative, here.

Mr. NOBILE: It happens to be an old-

Ms. REISMAN: You have to repeat that.

Mr. NOBILE: It happens to be an old Woody Allen joke.

DONAHUE: Are you there, caller? Are you there?

2nd CALLER: Yeah.

DONAHUE: Go ahead.

2nd CALLER: I have a comment. I'm thoroughly distressed at this rude behavior of this woman you have on this panel. I think it's very bad in this day and age. You have such-obviously an enlightened group of other panelists on there and they can't get a word in edgewise. Her physical body language- she's all over that poor man next to her, wagging her finger at everybody. How would you like to have a woman like this for a mother?

Ms. REISMAN: Shall I be quiet?

DONAHUE: No, not at all. All right. All right. *[applause]* You're for her, right? I know. I hope you don't-

Ms. REISMAN: I would prefer to be quiet. I really would.

DONAHUE: I hope you don't criticize Dr. Reisman for her enthusiasm. It's something we long for and try to promote on our own program. Doesn't do any good to talk if nobody's listening.

Mr. NOBILE: Phil, what she should be criticized, though, for is her McCarthyism. You know what the worst thing in the-

Ms. REISMAN: McCarthyism?

Mr. NOBILE: Her sexual McCarthyism. The worst thing in this book is not anything she says about Kinsey who, after all, is dead and cannot be heard. She actually smears the former president of American University, Dr. Richard Berensoll *[sp?]* a man who was caught up in child sexual abuse himself, and therefore-

DONAHUE: Telephone sexual abuse.

Mr. NOBILE: Yes. And when he went on *Nightline*, he explained why he was involved in that. He said he himself was abused by a relative in a cellar as a child. So what does Judith Reisman do to him?

Ms. REISMAN: Am I supposed to not respond to that?

DONAHUE: Let him make his point.

Ms. REISMAN: OK.

Mr. NOBILE: She smears him in the book, saying that the study on the childhood images in *Playboy*, *Penthouse* and *Hustler*, the \$800,000 study that was rejected by everybody in the world- she says that Richard Berenson was responsible for that subversion because he himself was a collector of pornography, was involved in child sexual abuse fantasies. How dare she smear a man who has begged for forgiveness and who has explained his own abuse like that? That's the kind of sympathy this woman shows.

Mr. VATZ: By the way, that is not entirely accurate with respect to Berenson's-

DONAHUE: I've got to break. You're not going to show dirty pictures, are you?

Ms. REISMAN: Well, you know, he's telling me about what's not fair.

DONAHUE: Oh, come on. I mean-

Ms. REISMAN: You want to put it on the screen?

DONAHUE: Probably not. I'm afraid to look myself.

Ms. REISMAN: Well, I mean this is just doing his job.

DONAHUE: Huh? What's the point to be made with this material?

Ms. REISMAN: The point is that *Playboy*- turn the page for the photographs. Those are just the cartoons, all right?

DONAHUE: Well, no, I can't.

Mr. NOBILE: This is from your rejected study, Judith.

Ms. REISMAN: It is not a rejected study!

DONAHUE: What is the point? You did a study on cartoons in *Playboy*, *Penthouse* and *Hustler*.

Ms. REISMAN: No, sorry. There we go again. I did not do a study on cartoons.

DONAHUE: What did you do?

Ms. REISMAN: It was a study on photographs, cartoons and images-

DONAHUE: Images- visual images.

Ms. REISMAN: Right. In *Playboy*, *Penthouse* and-

DONAHUE: I am corrected.

Ms. REISMAN: And I want to tell you something. I want to tell you something. Look at the opening letter. That was used by the Simi *[sp?]* Valley authorities. That man right there, Dwayne Tinsley *[sp?]*, the guy who did that cartoon on the top-

DONAHUE: Right. What about him?

Ms. REISMAN: -was arrested for child sexual abuse. He'd been sexually abusing his own daughter for years. They used our research to identify that and to get the guy in fact, convicted.

DONAHUE: The Kinsey Institute-

Ms. REISMAN: Now, the daughter said that those pictures were actual pictures of what was done to her.

Mr. NOBILE: And why did the Justice Department reject your study?

Ms. REISMAN: Now, what we've got her, with this man here, with Nobile, who speaks about "positive incest" all the time, OK, in *Penthouse*-

Mr. NOBILE: "All the time"?

Ms. REISMAN: -is the defense of child sex abuse. I'm a problem because I will not defend child sex abuse! I never will! And they will support it!

DONAHUE: We'll be back in just a moment.

[Commercial break]

DONAHUE: Hi. I'm glad you waited. Go ahead.

3rd CALLER: Yes, Phil?

DONAHUE: Yeah.

3rd CALLER: I'm a psychotherapist and I used to respect the Kinsey Report, but to hear the doctor telling how they got the data, you know, has changed my opinion. I think it's perverse and it should not be accepted in the name of science and I resent the fact that-

DONAHUE: You're a psychotherapist?

3rd CALLER: Yes.

DONAHUE: And whose work would you endorse on the issue of sexuality?

3rd CALLER: It just- I don't know any more. It changed. I used to-

DONAHUE: Well, it's hard to imagine that, as a practic-

ing psychotherapist, you have no one that you would professionally embrace--
3rd CALLER: Masters and Johnsons [sic].
DONAHUE: You like Masters and Johnson? 'Well, they've been victimized in the same way Kinsey has.
Srd CALLER: I mean, and I don't like the *Penthouse* people being there. I mean-
DONAHUE: You don't like the *Penthouse* people being here?
Mr. NOBILE: I'm not a *Penthouse* person.
DONAHUE: Oh, yeah? We've got your number. Don't kid us.
Ms. REISMAN: Guccione's in a lot of trouble. Where are the tables, Phil? Please, Phil-
DONAHUE: What do you want the tables?
M.s. REISMAN: --put up the tables!
DONAHUE: Tables- now you-
Ms. REISMAN: **Let the people see them!**
DONAHUE: This will make your point, will it?
Ms. REISMAN: **Yes! You let the American public look at it and let him pay attention to what those tables say!**
Mr. NOBILE: After the tables, Judith, can we put up the lies in your resume?
Ms. REISMAN Oh, a *Penthouse* man!
Mr. NOBILE: All right. See, she-- this is woman who could not-
Ms. REISMAN: "Lies in your resume!"
Phil, I think the tables would be fair,
Mr. NOBILE: And the resume.
Ms. REISMAN: Let the American public
Mr. NOBILE: Judith, did you claim-
Ms. REISMAN: Let the American public
Mr. EICHEL: Let the public think, Phil.
Ms. REISMAN: He runs from it because he doesn't want people to know. *[crosstalk]*
Mr. NOBILE: No, no. And I'd like to see your resume, as well.
Ms. REISMAN: Doesn't want anybody to see it!
DONAHUE: The tables show the number of orgasms in humans - males, I assume - five months to four years.
Ms. REISMAN: You've got two months old, OK?
DONAHUE: Five months old.
Ms. REISMAN: **You've got one child allegedly having a climax. Where are those tables? You've got 24 hours around the clock, 26 orgasms in a four-year-old-**
Mr. NOBILE: "Around the clock"?
Mr. TRIPP: Wait a minute.
Ms. REISMAN: You want to tell meMr.
TRIPP: That's not right.
Ms. REISMAN: You want to tell me- where are those tables?
DONAHUE: All right. *[to director Bryan Russo]* Show them, Bryan.
Ms. REISMAN: **Where are the tables?**
DONAHUE: **Yeah. Well, we're going to show them.**
Ms. REISMAN: There!
DONAHUE: Now-
Ms. REISMAN: All right, read it!
DONAHUE: Now, what is the point? What is your point?

Ms. REISMAN : You tell me what is the point! Five. months old- what is it? I can hardly read it. What's next- to that? "Number of orgasms"-Oh, "Number of orgasms; three." **Go down to the four-year-old. You see the four-' year-old there?**
DONAHUE: **Seventeen, yeah. There, bottom one. A four-year-old, 26 orgasms in 24 hours.**

[on screen: Examples of multiple orgasms in pre-adolescent males, from "Sexual Behavior in the Human Males. „Age- 5 months; Number of orgasms- 3; Time involved- ?? Age- 11 months; Number of orgasms- 10; Time involved 38 minutes. Age- 11 months; Number of orgasms- 14; Time involved 9 minutes. Age- 2 years; Number of orgasms- 7; Time involved 9 minutes. Age-- 2 and 1/2 years; Number of orgasms- 11.;Time involved 1 hour, .75 minutes. Age- 4 years; Number of orgasms- 4; Time involved 2 minutes. Age-- 4 months; Number of orgasms- 17; Time involved 10 hours. Age-"5 years; Number of orgasms-- 26; Time involved 24 hours.]

Ms. REISMAN: Tell me, Phil- tell me, Phil, does a baby sleep? Tell me Phil, does a baby sleep? Tell me if this is scientific.
Mr. TRIPP: It's very scientific, and you can't get-
Ms. REISMAN: A baby sleeps for eight hours. You tell me how you get 26 orgasms for 24 hours! *[crosstalk]*
Mr. TRIPP: We've got to be able to get a word in edgewise!
Ms. REISMAN: Just a minute! Just a minute! Just a minute!
DONAHUE: Sounds like a guest for the Donahue show.
Ms. REISMAN .And you know- and you know- and you know what Gephardt [sp?] said to me? You know what Dr. Gephardt from-
DONAHUE: What did he say?
Ms. REISMAN: **He wrote me a letter, which I wish you had up there too, in which he said that oral and manual techniques were used on the children. Now, Phil, I'm going to say that once more. I'm going to say that once more. And if I'm wrong, let them sue me. He said oral and manual techniques were used on those children!**
DONAHUE: And how does-
Ms. REISMAN: What American is going to defend that?
DONAHUE: **How does C.A. Tripp respond to that?**
Mr. Tripp: **Of course, She's talking about data that came from pedophiles that he would listen only to pedophiles who were very careful, used stop watches, knew how to record their thing, did careful surveys. And these she resents very much, but they're very important and. Kinsey did them.**
DONAHUE: **So he interviewed people who were known criminals, I assume. Were they in prison at the time?**
Mr TRIPP: **Oh, certainly not, but they were, in her sense, criminals because they were pedophiles but they were trained observers.**
Ms. REISMAN: Oh, "In her sense they were criminals because they were pedophiles"?
Mr. TRIPP: **They were trained observers.**
Ms. REISMAN: They're raping children and they're not criminals? You're going to defend that?
Mr. TRIPP: Nobody was raping children. What they were doing-

Ms. REISMAN: 'What do you call sexually, manually and orally, abusing a baby and you're a bloody pedophile?

Mr. TRIPP: And as for-

Ms. REISMAN: A bloody pervert!

DONAHUE: You are- you helped edit this book, is that so?

J. GORDON MUIR, Editor, "Kinsey, Sex and Frand": *[in the audience]* That's right.

DONAHUE: And you are?

Mr. MUIR: Dr. Gordon Muir.

DONAHUE: Dr. Gordon [sic], very good.

Mr. MUIR: Try and bring some sanity into this program. Now, we have a guy here called Dr. Tripp who thinks that you can present valid data on sexual behavior, normal sexual behavior, by masturbating human infants for 24 hours at a time? Is that correct?

Mr. TRIPP: Somebody in the audience-

Mr. MUIR That's what Kinsey claimed. That's what Kinsey claimed.

Mr. TRIPP: Yes. I'm not denying that. I'm-

Mr. MUIR: And you're telling me that Kinsey came across in an interview-

Mr. TRIPP: I'm going to tell you,

Mr. MUIR: a-sample of 5,300 people, he found pedophiles--

Mr. TRIPP: Hush up just a minute and I'll tell you.

Mr. MUIR: --who had stop watches, just happened to have stop watches, just happened to have all that data handy?

Mr. TRIPP: Hush up!

DONAHUE: I'll give you a chance to respond to the gentleman's question in just a moment, if it please the court. I'm sorry, I must make this time out.

[Commercial break]

DONAHUE: Yes, Dr. Tripp, you were going to say at the time of the break?

Mr. TRIPP: One of the callers asked why it's important to look at sex in very young children. It is because of Kinsey's effort to find the difference between males and females, which is so important, gradually and later in their life and at every stage--

DONAHUE: Yes. But would you kindly, one more time, please speak to the accusation that child molestation occurred during the research of Dr. Kinsey and thereby skews- not only skews the research but also indicts the

Mr TRIPP: Oh, the reason that that is not the case is that the research and the timings on children were done by other people. This does not-

Ms. REISMAN: Pedophiles!

Mr. TRIPP: This does not mean that it's invalid. Let me show you the medical parallel. The medical parallel is that we didn't know the human body until we had anatomists who went to the graveyard and stole the corpses.

Ms. REISMAN These are rapists telling you that the kids enjoyed being raped!

Mr. TRIPP: The fact that it's illegal is not relevant

Ms. REISMAN: Oh, it isn't?

Mr. TRIPP: -to the issue-

Ms. REISMAN: That's not according to science.

Mr. TRIPP: It's not relevant to the issue of whether it's important material or not. **It's very important to see the reaction.**

Ms. REISMAN. You go to the American Academy of Sciences and you tell them that!

DONAHUE: Dr. Reisman- Dr. Reisman, Kinsey was an avid collector. Everybody says that about him. He was he collected something like 4,000 wasps.

Ms. REISMAN: Yes, he nailed them all into little tiny boxes.

DONAHUE: And so did Charles Darwin, and God bless him.

Ms. REISMAN: No, he didn't! He didn't take millions of wasps and sit them in little boxes like that with little pins in their little--

Mr. NOBILE: That's what scientists do. You wouldn't know about that.

DONAHUE: Are you making fun of this? Are you making fun of that?

Ms. REISMAN No, but you don't- but what he did say and this- I'm really glad you raised that, because what he said was that there should be no difference between the way we examine human beings from the way we examine insects!

Well, we do not examine human beings like insects-

DONAHUE: Let me just-

Ms. REISMAN: -because they aren't insects!

DONAHUE: Hang on a minute. Let me understand your point here. Now, wait a minute. Teenage girls are getting pregnant.

Ms. REISMAN: Yes, and they were using Kinseyan sexuality-

DONAHUE: I'm telling you!

Ms. REISMAN': You know, they want to put little-

DONAHUE: Have you seen the Madonna video? Ms.

REISMAN: Yes, I did!

DONAHUE: Holy cow! Now, wait a minute! We've got premarital sex. We've got premarital this-

Ms. REISMAN: The Judeo-Christian-

DONAHUE: If it feels good, go ahead and do it!

Ms. REISMAN. Yes! That's where we're from!

DONAHUE: Kinsey's responsible for this?

Ms. REISMAN: Well, what do you think? Was it because of the Judeo-Christian ethic, which we're so found of saying was rotten? Wait a minute, Phil. Wait a minute. Do you know that they're talking now about putting implants in the teenage kids so that they can have sex-

DONAHUE: Who's talking about this?

Ms. REISMAN: Oh, the sex education and therapy--Roger Libby [sp?]. Hey, we can put implants and then they won't have to be pregnant! What about venereal disease; though, Phil?

DONAHUE: You're dropping names here with abandon and you-

Ms. REISMAN No, not abandon! I'm going to-

DONAHUE: You're going to blame the Kinsey Institute on this? The guy was a married-once, monogamous person!

Ms. REISMAN: Now, Phil, you look at me and you tell me

that you think that that is really-listen!

DONAHUE: I'm listening.

Ms. REISMAN: Listen! **You look at me and you tell me that you really believe that men who sexually abused 317 infants and children are a good source for us to decide what's real about female and about child sexuality!**

DONAHUE: I'm going to look at you and I'm going to say the following.

Ms. REISMAN: What?

DONAHUE: That you can't even begin to prove your charge-

Ms. REISMAN Read the book!

DONAHUE: -that you are part- you and Pat Buchanan are part of an anti-sexual, "Let's all go and join the church choir and don't look at our bodies because their naughty" sort of political movement and you're attempting to destroy the father of sexual research-

Ms. REISMAN And you know what? I don't believe you-

DONAHUE: -of our time.

Ms. REISMAN: -for one minute!

DONAHUE: Well, wait a minute!

Mr. EICHEL: Phil, let me explain something!

DONAHUE: Explain what?

Mr. EICHEL: Phil, it was Alfred Kinsey who said about male-female sexual response-

DONAHUE: What did he say?

Mr. EICHEL: -that the man is faster and therefore superior, tough luck for the spouse. Why, then, when he wasn't-

DONAHUE: He said, "Tough luck"? He didn't talk like that.

Mr. NOBILE: No, he didn't. He didn't say it was superior, either.

Mr. EICHEL: Yes! He said no matter how unfortunate for the spouse-- now, let me ask you this. Why would he be so interested in young children's erections and so oblivious to adult women?

Ms. REISMAN: Right. And to rape- and rape of adult women.

You know what, Phil? You know what, Phil?

DONAHUE: Wait a minute. I'm almost out of time.

Yeah, what?

5th AUDIENCE MEMBER I want to know who these children are, how their parents were involved and have we talked to those children now as adults?

Ms. REISMAN Right!

DONAHUE: I've got to- hang on a minute. We're already late.

Yes? You've been standing a long time, here. I don't have much time. Yes, ma'am?

6th AUDIENCE MEMBER: I know. I just want to say that I think Dr. Reisman is on the money.

DONAHUE: You do?

6th AUDIENCE MEMBER Yes, I do. Of course I do.

DONAHUE: All right. I'll give you a chance. I've got to break here. We'll be back-

Ms. REISMAN: And he went-

DONAHUE: -in just a moment.

Ms. REISMAN: -to a negro school for boys and-

[Commercial break]

DONAHUE: I've got an audience here that wants in here and I have an obligation, as do we all, to give them a chance.

Sir?

7th AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you. What was the point of the research if the Kinsey Report did not follow up on the people they did research of when they were children?

DONAHUE: Well, first of all, I'm not sure they didn't.

8th AUDIENCE MEMBER: I think it's a bit nuts to count anybody's orgasms, but how do we approach our children sexually, generally-

DONAHUE: Where do we get information if we don't? Ms.

Ms. REISMAN: Not from sex offenders!

9th AUDIENCE MEMBER: Just because one child had five orgasms, how is that reflecting everyone else? I mean, that was just one isolated event.

Ms. REISMAN: Besides, what do we call an orgasm?

DONAHUE: Yes, sir?

10th AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm just appalled that Dr. Tripp's whole attitude towards this whole thing. He'ssshe's throwing accusations at him and he's just standing there like it was funny and everything like that.

Mr. TRIPP: Well, I think it's absolutely hilarious. You talk about a man who's the champion sex researcher who did very careful work. Everybody just asks irrelevant questions. It has nothing to do with anything.

Ms. REISMAN: This country doesn't genuflect to his God,

11th AUDIENCE MEMBER: The gentleman on the end who \- writes for *Penthouse*, it disturbs me that you talk about "positive incest." I don't understand that. That's very disturbing.

Mr. NOBILE: Listen, my daughter Maeve [sp?] happened to be the star of an anti-child-molestation film. I will not be smeared by this woman, Judith Reisman. I wrote an article--

Ms. REISMAN: You're smeared by what you write

Mr. NOBILE: -based on data. She is afraid of ideas.

Ms. REISMAN: Oh, my God!

DONAHUE: Are you there, caller? I've got to press a button.

Are you there, caller?

4th CALLER: Yes, I am.

DONAHUE: Go ahead, please.

4th CALLER: You know, I really think that this is all about the age-old American fear of sexuality. And what makes it even worse is that if you really think about it and listen to what these people are saying, they're just afraid to admit that there is such a thing as childhood sexuality. Whenever you talk about childhood sexuality, people just freak out and yet- I'm a student of the history of sexuality, and I know there is such a thing. Children do respond to things.

DONAHUE: I agree.

Yeah?

12th AUDIENCE MEMBER: I don't know much about the Kinsey report but I'm definitely going to read up on it. I'm not going to buy your book and make you rich.

13th AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'd like to know why she

has everything to say and the other people are just sitting . ' - there .

DONAHUE: Well, because they got a lousy moderator who didn't equally distribute the time.

14th AUDIENCE MEMBER: One quick rhetorical question. Where did they get these children from for these studies?

Ms. REISMAN Exactly!

Mr. TRIPP: The children were all taken from-

15th AUDIENCE MEMBER: I would just like to say, regardless of what she says and how she feels-

Mr. TRIPP: They were all taken from histories.

15th AUDIENCE MEMBER: -they shouldn't keep doubting her credentials.

Ms. REISMAN: I didn't hear that,

DONAHUE: Yes, ma'am?

16th AUDIENCE MEMBER: Years ago, our children were brought up under SEICUS [sp?], Dr. Mary Calderon. At that time, they were showing a film in the kindergarten that:-

[Commercial break]

17th AUDIENCE MEMBER: I have a comment. As a mother of four daughters, I do not want the research done on children for sex. I don't care what-

DONAHUE: But you- but can we have your concession that this accusation has never been proved and that a considerable body of scientific researchers will tell you that there was no criminal activity within the Kinsey-

Copyright © 1990 Multimedia Entertainment, Inc.

FURTHER INFORMATION:

Kinsey, Sex and Fraud: The Indoctrination of a People by . Judith Reisman, available in book stores.